# Construction of Verified Models for Systems Represented as Networks

Agustin Yagüe<sup>1</sup>, Pedro P. Alarcón<sup>1</sup>, Juan Garbajosa<sup>1</sup>, Alexei Lisounkin<sup>2</sup>, Gerhard Schreck<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, OEI. E.U. Informática (UPM) Crtra. De Valencia Km. 7, E-28031 Madrid, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Fraunhofer-Institute for Production Systems and Design Technology (IPK) Pascalstrasse 8-9 D - 10587 Berlin, Germany

**Abstract.** This paper presents both a language and process for producing verified models for systems that can be described as network structures. Analysing technical systems with interrelated components, a common data model for technical networks was elaborated and represented formally by UML. The UML model was transformed to XML and specified by means of XML Schema. The developed set of XML Schema documents for modelling of network structures is denoted as the XNetMod language. Use of XML-based language allows to profit from available XML tools and CAD with XML export. Moreover, XML-Schema-based specification supports model validation from early phase of modelling, and tools for the XML-Schema-based validation are available. Additionally, model structure verification was provided by algebraic and patternbased methods. The design technique was successfully applied to different application domains, which were described in terms of network structures.

# **1** Introduction

This paper presents a language and associated process for producing verified models for systems which can be described as networks structures (i.e., systems made of standard components connected to networks). The developed models can further be used for technical system behaviour simulation in order to highlight issues such as simulation-based supervision, control and decision-making mechanisms. The approach taken to meet the intended objectives was as follows: a) an XML-based language was defined such that its modelling schemas could be verified by means of applying algebraic and pattern-based methods specifically developed; b) two model interpreting processes were implemented: Functional simulation of model that interprets intermediately the language schemas; and derivation of generic plugging (interchange) interfaces for communication between the model and an external software.

This paper focuses on how a verified XNetMod modelling document is built. In the next section, Modelling Language for Network Architectures will give the basics for the language and verification. Next, the application of the process is presented.

### 2 Modelling Language for Network Architectures

The modelling of technical networks leads us to the field of systems with interrelated components. Ranging from the very simple and still widely used Chen's Entity-Relationship model, to the object-oriented models and functional models, a wide range of proposals have been issued. However, there is a lack of some basic mechanisms, such as model components relationships definition [1]. Actually, research attempts are focused on further development of formal and knowledge-based approaches (see [2], [3]). Though modelling language semantics are obviously essential, language syntactic capabilities are also important. Here, an XML-based language has advantages as a language for data interchange and application interaction.

#### 2.1 Abstract Modelling of Network Architectures

A network structured system possesses the following important properties: a) the processing elements of the system form the nodes of the network architecture; b) the topological relations among the processing elements are the links in the network and represent functional relations between the nodes; c) the network structure may possess a special (pattern based) structure (example – Petri net); d) the connected processing elements must match some specification with respect to the structure and values of their attributes.

The structure of the system can be described according to the following data model, Fig. 1. Here, the class "model" is the root class for the entire model. Classes "node<level1>" and "node<level2>" represent node elements of different types or even hierarchical levels, the number of hierarchical levels is not limited.



Fig. 1. Generic class model of a network structure

We emphasise that the set of node elements may be used for definition of different kinds of interrelations. One kind of interrelations in the system will be modelled by a corresponding network (*e.g.* material flow network, control flow network, *etc.*). Each network has its own interpretation procedure (at least one). Obviously, the model must also be able to represent several networks. Thus, network topologies involved in the modelling are represented by instances of class "net". The "pin" elements refer to the nodes which are directly connected by means of the related network. Also "rule"-elements, which may define legal network patterns for the relevant network, are also included in the model.

Consequently, model instances are strongly associated with domains they represent. An application domain does prescribe the model architecture and validation principles and rules.

#### 2.2 Basic Concept of the XNetMod Language

Using the natural structure of the XML grammar, the model structure as it is shown in Fig. 1 could easily be transformed into a linguistic object with four main semantic parts: a) a set of functional elements in a network ("node"-elements) – nodes in a graphical representation of the model; b) a set of networks ("net"-elements) with their connections ("pin"-elements) – edges in graphical representation of the model; c) a set of verification rules ("rule"-elements) which may define legal and illegal network patterns for the relevant network; and d) a set of attributes ("attr"-elements) related to node elements, network definitions, and connection descriptions. The network modelling language defines the structure – topology – of the technical facility or process and provides the highest abstraction level for the description of the process functional relations.

As mentioned above, an application domain provides an impact on syntactic and semantic aspects of a modelling language, and, of course, defines substantially functionality of associated interpreting tools. Thus, the specification of the "node"-element is given as *abstract* and was separately extended for specific application fields. From this point of view we can speak about a language family. Such semantic aspects as validity intervals for model attributes can be treated only in connection with a chosen application domain.

Taking into consideration this dependency of the language on a application domain, the decision was taken to concentrate the semantic/syntactic structure of the language on the representation and validation of network properties in general and connection features in local.

Consequently, the structure of the XNetMod language was developed in order to support the development of network interpreters. For this reason, the definition of the model has three parts – the definition of data model, the definition of relational model, and the definition of verification model. The data model component provides the interpreter with context information. The relational model – definition of algebraic terms. The verification model possesses information for the term interpretation. Considering the activities related to modelling of ontologies (http://www.w3c.org/), we would like to emphasise, that the developers of the XML language followed a similar

strategy in defining logical relations between the data entities. There, the separation of data model and logical relations allowed modelling of complex semantics. The interpretation of the semantic was also done by an interpreter – inference machine.

#### 2.3 Model Verification Approach

Obviously, the system topology correctness is crucial for adequate processing of simulation-based tasks. Therefore, special efforts were made in order to elaborate proper methods for the network structure validation. The approach developed includes two mechanisms: a) the use of an XML specific tool – XML Schema – for the verification of model elements; and b) the use of rules, which define allowed model patterns, for verification of model structure.

For the XML-Schema-based mechanism, the definition of sophisticated XML schemas for model components and relation between them must be provided. The verification procedure can be implemented by means of available XML parsers, for example by Xerces of the Apache Software Foundation (http://www.apache.org/).

It is not possible to verify the semantic of XML documents based only on the XML Schema functionality. The core idea of this technique is the application of algebraic and pattern based methods for the model verification. The use of network algebra for mapping of a network topology into a proper algebraic term can be found in [4]. Two model check tasks were considered: a) model configuration check – check of an attribute appearance and values, and b) network topology check – identification of non-valid connections.

The formal description of network configuration check can be provided using the set algebra. Let us consider a network G defined by sets of nodes  $\mathcal{N}$  and links  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$G := \langle \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{N} := \{ N_1, \dots, N_{|\mathcal{M}|} \}, \quad \mathcal{L} := \{ L_1, \dots, L_{|\mathcal{L}|} \}.$$

$$(1)$$

The nodes  $N_i$ ,  $i=1(1)|\mathcal{M}|$ , possess type attribute  $t_i$  and simulation attributes  $a_{ij}$ :

$$N_{i} := \langle t_{i}, \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i|\mathcal{M}}\} \rangle, \quad t_{i} \in \mathcal{T}, \ \mathcal{T} := \{T_{1}, \dots, T_{|\mathcal{T}|}\}, \quad a_{ii} := \langle b_{i}, v_{i} \rangle.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Here  $b_j \in B$  and  $v_j \in IR$  are attribute name and value. Sets  $\mathcal{T}:=\{T_1, ..., T_{|\mathcal{T}|}\}$  and  $B:=\{b_1, ..., b_{|B|}\}$  collect application field dependent node types and attribute names. Topological structure of the network is given by links  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ . Nodes  $N_i, N_j \in \mathcal{N}$  are connected if  $\exists L \in \mathcal{L}, L=\langle N_i, N_j \rangle$ . Additionally, a set of verification rules is also given

$$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{O} \times \{0,1\}, \quad \mathcal{O} := \{>\otimes, \otimes <, \oplus\}.$$
(3)

**Configuration check:** The validator verifies if attributes of nodes (2), which are in direct connection, mach with patterns defined by rules (3). Here, attribute names, types, values and other defined characteristics (*e.g.* physical units) can be addressed.

**Topology check:** Topology verification was realized using an algebra-based approach. Abstract operations "*apply left*" (> $\otimes$ ), "*apply right*" ( $\otimes$ <), "*join*" ( $\oplus$ ), *etc.*, were defined for mapping of a network graph (1) in a proper algebraic term. The verification is provided by a specialised interpreter which is able to interpret the algebraic term symbolically or numerically with respect to rules and operations (3).

### 3. Modelling Process Example

The process of a model building can be divided into two activities: definition of a model on semantic level and its formalization using an interpretable modelling language. The language chosen for the semantic was UML. The process shown is supported by a real application domain: modelling of forest fire extinguishing tasks.

The importance of forest fire prevention, extinguishing, and management is well known, especially in the Mediterranean region. In case of forest fire, there are resources that must be supervised: human, land and air resources. Every kind of resource has its own properties, and, in case of fire, is implemented in a different way. A simulation based training system for forest fire officers was developed in framework of XnetMod research project (see the Acknowledgements).



Fig. 2. Resource and location node hierarchy diagram



Fig. 3. Road and resources network example

The modelling of the system requires three main types of nodes – resources, locations, and crossroads. Fig. 2 models the relationship among the classes. Crossroads connected by roads and provides the main system network. Resources and location nodes related to the road network. In Fig. 3, a road network example with resources and location nodes is shown. Here,  $Cr1 \dots Cr6$  are crossroads, P1 and P2 are populations, Ab1 is an airbase, A1 is an aircraft, V1 is a vehicle. The figure depicts also allocation links: P1 to Cr2, Ab1 to Cr4, V1 to P1, and A1 to Ab1. The following verification rules for this system can be formulated: a) a population must be linked to a crossroad, b) vehicle must be linked to a road or crossroad, and c) aircraft must be linked to an airbase.

Once the application domain model was defined in terms of UML, it was specified using XnetMod language. Some code fragment of an XnetMod document is given:

48

```
<Model xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchemainstance">
    <Node Id="P1" Name="Cuenca" xsi:type="Node:Population">
        <Inhabitants>50000</Inhabitants>
    </Node>
    <Node Id="CR1" rem="Calle" xsi:type="Node:Crossroad">
        <Risk>100</Risk></Node>
    <Not Id="map1" Name="roads">
        <Pin Id="P1" rem="Autopistal" xsi:type="Pin:Highway">
            <From>P1</From><To>CR1</To></Pin>
        <Rule Id="R1" rem="Rule1" xsi:type="Rule:Instance">
        </Rule></Node>
```

## Conclusion

A language and process for producing verified model schemas was presented for systems that can be described as networks structures, that is, systems made of interrelated components. The developed language could serve as the simulation basis for such application related issues as supervision, control, and decision-making. XML Schema technique was used to specify the language. One of the reasons for this was the number of available facilities to deal with XML structures. The language defined on an abstract level with adaptation possibility with respect to a relevant application domain. The approach has been tested with such various domains as forest fire extinguishing tasks (as described within this paper) and gas/water distribution automation.

### Acknowledgements

This work is part of the XnetMod (XML Based Modelling Language for Simulation of Technical Networks ) project (CRAFT IST-2001-52057) partially funded by the European Commission. Contractors of the project are: ELPRO Prozessindustrie und Energieanlagen GmbH (coordinator) (Germany), SIMPOWER Simulator Systeme GmbH (Germany), Investigacion y Programas S.A. (Spain), ACEBO, C.B. (Spain), and RTD performers: Fraunhofer Institute for Production Systems and Design Technology (Germany) and Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (Spain).

# References

- Broy, M.: Object-oriented programming and software development critical assessment. In Programming Methodology. Springer-Verlag. Berlin Heidelberg New York (2003) 211-222
- Fritzson P. Principles of Object-Oriented Modelling and Simulation with Modelica 2.1 Wiley-IEEE Press (2004)
- Abdullah M.S, Benest I., Evans A., And Kimble C.: Knowledge Modelling Techniques for Developing Knowledge Management Systems. In Proceedings of the 3rd European Conference on Knowledge Management. Dublin, Ireland, September 2002 (2002) 15-25
- 4. Stefanescu G.: Network Algebra. Springer-Verlag. Berlin Heidelberg New York (2000)