VALIDATION OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS USING PETRI NETS

Asghar Bokhari and Skip Poehlman

McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Keywords: Dynamic analysis, Petri nets, UML state diagrams, Agents, EPSS.

Abstract: Enterprise information systems are complex software that are frequently required to adapt to rapid changes in business environments. Although there have been some successes, the research literature is full of horror stories due to failure of these systems. Current software engineering practice requires verification/validation of complex systems at the design stage. Unified Modeling Language (UML), which lacks formal semantics, is the defacto standard for designing the majority of information systems and that means dynamic analysis techniques cannot be used for validation of UML models. Consequently there has been a considerable interest among researchers in formalization of UML models. Early proposals translate UML state diagrams into some kind of mathematical language and input this textual description to a model checker. In this paper we present a rule-based technique to convert UML state diagrams to Object Coloured Petri (OCP) nets. A strong mathematical foundation, more amenable to verification and validation procedures, along with a graphical representation, makes Petri nets ideally suitable for dynamic analysis of UML modelled information systems.

1 INTRODUCTION

It is generally agreed that there is a need to develop information systems that are flexible and that adapt easily to the rapid, ever-changing industrial and business environments. It should also be possible to accommodate new business processes without the need to rewrite the entire information system ((Venkatraman, 1994), (Rober, 1999), (Buchsbaum et al., 2001)). In order to meet these requirements we earlier reported (Bokhari and Poehlman, 2004) a proposed architecture for an agile Electronic Performance Support System (EPSS) based on service oriented architecture (SOA) where services are implemented using software agents. Most of the research related to analysis and design of information systems including the agent oriented software development is based on "principled but informal " methodologies (Wooldridge and Ciancarini, 2000). They have, most of the time, used object oriented analysis and design methodologies based on UML and have proposed extensions or adaptations to make these methodologies applicable to agent oriented development (Wooldridge et al., 1999). However UML is based on semi-formal semantics and does not support dynamic analysis whereas software engineering practice requires such analysis and validation at an early stage in the software development process. In this paper we show how a UML model of an agent-based information system can be transformed to an Object Coloured Petri Net (OCPN) model that can be used for simulation and dynamic analysis.

2 RELATED WORK

Current research differentiates the strong notion of agency followed by AI researchers ((Wooldridg and Jennings, 1995), (Nawana, 1996)). We follow the weak notion of agency and use reactive software agents in our system, as defined in (Tosic and Agha, 2004).

Agent-based software systems are being used for significant applications where agents must work in cooperation with traditional software systems. There is a major need for industrial strength approaches to engineer agent-based systems to avoid the possibilities of developing invalid systems (Singh, 2000). Recognizing the popularity of UML in the software industry, researchers have focussed on formalization of UML models for dynamic analysis. Earlier attempts concentrated on translating UML models to mathematical models using formal languages like Z, HOL and PVS (Rysavy, 2003). In (Lilius and Paltor, 1999), the authors use vUML to translate a "flat" representation of UML statecharts into PROMELA, which is the input language to the model checker SPIN. This approach however does not support dynamic creation or deletion of objects. (Latella et al., 1999) propose a conversion of the hierarchical representation of UML state diagrams to extended hierarchical automaton (EHA) as an intermediate step and then translate it to PROMELA. A survey of efforts to formalise UML can be found in (Rysavy, 2003). A common weakness of all these approaches is that they fail to provide a high level of abstraction that can be properly understood and implemented by systems engineers ((Varro, 2002), (Rysavy, 2003)). Coloured Petri Nets (Jensen, 1997) are well known for their formal foundations, their graphical appearance, their simulation and analysis capabilities and their support for modeling of concurrent systems (Philippi, 2000). This has generated much interest in the translation of UML models to Petri Nets. Most of these proposals suggest algorithms based on a set of rules that can be followed step by step to translate UML models into Petri Nets.

(Wagenhals et al., 2002) report an algorithm to facilitate the conversion of UML based Object Oriented artifacts into executable CP net models. They use class diagrams to provide the basic structure for the conversion to a CP net. The paper does not describe how objects of different classes communicate with each other and how an object can be created / deleted. ((Merseguer et al., 2002), (Bernardi et al., 2002)) present proposals for translating UML models to Generalized Stochastic Petri Nets (GSPNs) for performance evaluation. (Zhao et al., 2004) propose their set of rules using a series of graph transformation steps. Saldana (Saldana and Shatz, 2001) gives algorithms for translating UML state diagrams to Object Petri nets. We have used some of their results in our research; however, they translate the UML diagrams into Object Petri Nets, which are based on a fixed number of objects that must be determined beforehand. This approach presents a major hurdle in using the technique to develop agent-based software for complex systems where agents need to be instantiated and deleted multiple times during the run time of the system and the number of agents of a particular type should not be constrained as constant at the design stage (Philippi, 2000).

Our technique translates a UML state diagram to Object Colour Petri Nets (OCPNs) (Maier and Moldt, 2001) in which individual nets represent classes of agents. Specific facilities added to class nets result in instantiation and deletion of agents represented as coloured tokens. Agent to agent as well as environment to agent communication is handled through communication channels.

3 UML AGENT MODELING

The behaviour of an agent can be described as a sequence of states the agent is in, changing from one state to another in response to external events consisting of interaction with other agents or with its environment. Each state may represent one of its activities including when it is idle waiting for something to happen in its environment. The change of state may be accompanied by the execution of an action that could consist of a local action by the agent or



Figure 1: State Diagram of Information Agent.

sending a message to another agent. By transforming UML model of an information system to a Petri net model, we can simulate the system and study its dynamic properties such as fairness, boundedness, liveness, deadlock-freeness and reversibility etc.. We do this by using a higher level abstraction of each agent in the system, similar to the concept of skeleton in (Singh, 2000), where an abstract state may correspond to a number of computation states considered alike for dynamic analysis of the system. Based on this abstraction, behaviour of an information processing agent can be represented by the UML state diagram shown in figure 1.

4 OUR APPROACH

We want to define a step-by-step method that will transform a UML behavioral specification represented by UML state diagrams and interaction diagrams to an OCP-net that represents the behavioral specifications of the system formally, so that the currently available tools for CPN may be used for the simulation and analysis of the system. This involves the following steps:

- a. We assume that a UML model, consisting of class and interaction diagrams, is available for an agentbased system and UML state diagrams representing the behaviour of agents belonging to different classes have been constructed at a level of abstraction discussed in section 3.
- b. We transform the state diagram of each agent to an OCP net by following the algorithm given in section 5 and call it an Agent Model.

- c. Each Agent Model is converted into a Class Model by adding necessary facilities.
- d. Class nets are integrated into an OCPN representing the system, by two sets of communication channels for asynchronous messages that represent the internal events within an agent as well as the external events created by other agents in the system, indicated by interaction diagrams. Although OCPNs provide mechanisms for both synchronous as well as asynchronous communication, we implement only asynchronous channels because the normal mode of communication for software agents is by asynchronous message passing.

Different stages of the design and analysis phase are shown in figure 2.



Figure 2: Overview of the Design and Analysis Process.

4.1 From UML to OCPN

An Agent Model (AM) is a tuple *<*BM, MP*>* where BM is a CPN that models the lifetime behavior of an agent as specified by the corresponding UML state diagram and interaction diagrams with $MP = \{ msg in, \}$ msg_inv, msg_out, msg_rec } a set of four places: (1) msg_in contains all tokens representing messages requesting some service of the current agent; (2) msg_inv contains all tokens representing messages sent by the current agent requesting some service; (3) msg_out contains all tokens representing messages sent by the current agent as an acknowledgement or a return value for a message received earlier from another agent; (4) msg_rec contains all tokens representing messages sent to the current agent by another agent as an acknowledgement or a return value for a message sent earlier by the current agent.

A Class Model is an enhanced version of an AM that meets the formal definition of a class net given in (Maier and Moldt, 2001). It is obtained from the AM by adding: (1) Four transitions $(T_{in}, T_{inv}, T_{out}, T_{rec})$ and four places $(P_{in}, P_{inv}, P_{out}, P_{rec})$ to handle communication between agents; (2) Two transitions *Cre*-

ate and Delete to handle creation and deletion of tokens representing agents of the class; (3) A finite set of instance fusion sets, if necessary, for the class attributes.

We use the terms *input place, output place, input transition, output transition, input arc* and *output arc* as defined in (Jensen, 1997), i.e. a node x is called an input node of another node y, iff there exists a directed arc from x to y. Analogously, a node x is called an output node of another node y, iff there exists a directed arc from y to x.

The class models discussed above must be connected together so that messages may be passed between different agents. This is done by creating two channels using the concept of global fusion places. A set of global fusion places consisting of P_{in} and P_{inv} places in all class models is created to act as channel 1. Channel 2 consists of global fusion places P_{out} and P_{rec} of all classes. Here we have used the modeling guidelines proposed by (Jensen, 1997) for asynchronous communication with acknowledgement.

5 ALGORITHM

Precondition: A UML state diagram is available for each class in the UML class diagram.

- Begin 1. For each state diagram
 - Begin Using Design/CPN
 - (a) Convert the state chart into an Agent Model using Algorithm 1
 - (b) Transform the Agent Model to a class net using Algorithm 2
 - End
 - 2. Connect the class models by a set of global fusion places defined as

$$Channel_{1} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_{ini}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_{invi}\right)$$
$$Channel_{2} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_{outi}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_{reci}\right)$$

where n is the total number of class nets.

End

5.0.1 Algorithm 1

Begin For each state diagram

- 1. If there are any composite states, flatten them to simple states
- 2. Examine the state diagram and assign a unique identifier to each state transition
- 3. Create the following tables for all state transitions:

Transition#	Input State	Output State	Events	Guards

Table 2: State Diagram Table B.

Transition#	Input State Exit	Output State En-	Transition
	Action(s)	try Action(s)	Action(s)

- 4. Start with a new CPN page and create four places named *msg_in*, *msg_inv*, *msg_out and msg_rec* and position them close to the top, bottom, left and right of the page.
- 5. For each state transition
- (a) Create a CPN transition and transform the guard conditions to CPN guards
- (b) Create a CPN input place for the input state and a CPN output place for the output state. If a state already exists do not duplicate it.
- (c) If there is a state transition event
 - **then If** this is a local event, create a CPN input place for it
 - **ElseIf** the event is a message received from another agent then create an input arc from *msg_in* place to this transition and another from a place containing a token of the agent receiving the message.
 - **ElseIf** the event represents a returned value from a previous action then draw an input arc from the *msg_rec* place and create an output place for the value returned.

EndIf

- EndIf
- (d) **If** there is an action for the state transition or an entry action for the output state or an exit action for the input state
 - **then If** it is a local action, create an output CPN place for it.
 - **ElseIf** this action is a message (M) for another agent, draw an output arc to *msg_inv* place from the transition. Create CPN input places for all parameters and draw arcs from these places to the transition. Specify initial markings for these places to represent the parameter values.
 - **ElseIf** an action results in sending of a return value or acknowledgement to another agent then draw an output arc to *msg_out* place.

EndIf

EndIf

End

5.0.2 Algorithm 2

- **Begin** 1. Create four CPN transitions named T_{in}, T_{inv}, T_{out} and T_{rec} and four CPN places named P_{in}, P_{inv}, P_{out} and P_{rec}
 - 2. Draw an input arc to T_{inv} and T_{out} from *msg_inv* and *msg_out* places of the Agent Model respectively
 - 3. Draw output arcs from T_{inv} to P_{inv} and T_{out} to P_{out}
 - Draw an output arc from T_{in} and T_{rec} to msg_in and msg_rec places of the Agent Model respectively
 - 5. Draw input arcs to T_{in} from P_{in} and to T_{rec} from P_{rec}
 - 6. Add facilities to create and destroy agents in the class by adding a *create* and a *delete* transition with input arcs from the *msg_in* place and in case of the create transition, an output arc to a place (AlIIDS) that contains all agent ids for the class and in case of the delete transition an input arc from this place.
- 7. Draw an input-output arc between T_{in} and Al-IIDS to ensure that a message is passed on to an agent only if it exists.
- 8. A create or delete message is handled by the class and it places a token representing the newly created agent in the initial place of the net representing the class. In case of deletion, the token is consumed by the transition implementing this message.

End

6 ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

Application of the above algorithm is now illustrated by an example that consists of two agent classes: a user agent class acting as the root class and an information agent class. The UML state diagram of the information agent is shown in figure 1 and that for a user agent is shown in figure 3. The User creates an information agent and then requests it to provide information based on a filter. The information agent returns the information on completion of a search if successful. In case it encounters an error, an error message is sent to the user. Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6 collect the information required by the algorithm from the two state diagrams. Finally figures 4 and 5 show the OCPN class models for the information agent class and the user agent class respectively. The parts of these diagrams enclosed in a dashed box represent the corresponding agent models referred to in the algorithm. The complete CPN model was simulated using Design/CPN.



Figure 3: State Diagram of User Agent.

Table 3.	Information	Agent State	Diagram	Table A
rable 5.	mormation	rigent State	Diagram	Table 1

Transition#	Input State	Output State	Events	Guards
1	Idle	Processing	AID.Get(X)	Nil
2	Processing	Incomplete	Error	Nil
3	Incomplete	Idle	Nil	Nil
4	Processing	Completed	Complete	Nil
5	Completed	Idle	Nil	Nil

7 CONCLUSION

We have shown how the UML model of a complex agent-based information sytem can be transformed to OCPN for dynamic analysis. The technique presented in this paper enhances the industry-standard practice of using UML for design and analysis of information systems, by presenting an algorithm to transform a UML model to an OCPN, that can be used by a software developer to check properties such as liveness, boundedness, deadlock-freeness etc. at the design stage, without a deep mathematical understanding of Petri nets.

Work is progressing on generating a dynamic EPSS using SOA and the above techniques to determine the suitability of using these methododologies in the production of a large and complex agent-based system.

Table 4: Information Agent State Diagram Table B.

Transition#	Input State Exit Action(s)	Output State En- try Action(s)	Transition Action(s)
2	Nil	^UA.ErrorMsg	Nil
4	Nil	^UA.Send(Inf)	Nil

Table 5: User Agent State Diagram Table A.

Transition#	Input State	Output State	Events	Guards
1	Idle	CreatingIA	UserAction	Nil
2	CreatingIA	Wait	Nil	Nil
3	Wait	Idle	Created(AID)	Nil
4	Idle	ReceivingInfo	Receive(Inf)	Nil
5	ReceivingInfo	Idle	Nil	Nil
6	Idle	RequestInfo	UserAction	Nil
7	RequestInfo	Idle	Nil	Nil
8	Idle	Deleting	UserAction	Nil
9	Deleting	Idle	Nil	Nil



Figure 4: OCPN Class Model of Information Agent Class.

Table 6: User Agent State Diagram Table B.

Transition#	Input State Exit Action(s)	Output State En- try Action(s)	Transition Action(s)
1	Nil	^IAC.Create(AID)	Nil
7	Nil	^AID.Get(X)	Nil
8	Nil	^IAC.Del(AID)	Nil

REFERENCES

- Bernardi, S., Merseguer, J., and Donatelli, S. (2002). From UML sequence diagrams and statecharts to analysable Petri net models. In *Proc. 3rd Int. W/S on Software and Performance (WOSP'02), pp 35-43,* Rome Italy.
- Bokhari, A. and Poehlman, S. (2004). Design of an agile performance support system. In Proc. 42nd Int. Performance Improvement (ISPI) Conf., Silver Spring, MD. International Society for Performance Improvement, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany.

Buchsbaum, A., Chen, Y., Huang, H., Koutsofios, E.,

Mocenigo, J., and Rogers, A. (2001). Visualizing and analyzing software infrastructures. *IEEE Software*, Sept./Oct. 2001:pp 62–70.

- Jensen, K. (1997). Coloured Petri Nets Basic Concepts, Analysis Methods and Practical Use, volume 1. Springer Verlag, Aarhus University, Denamrk, 2 edition.
- Latella, D., Majzik2, I., and Massink1, M. (1999). Automatic verification of a behavioural subset of uml statechart diagrams using the spin model-checker1. *Formal Aspects of Computing*, 11:pp 637–664.
- Lilius, J. and Paltor, I. P. (1999). Formalizing UML state machines for model checking. In *Robert France* and Burnhard Rumpe (Eds.):UML'99, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS 1723), pp 430-444, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Maier, C. and Moldt, D. (2001). Object coloured Petri nets - a formal technique for object oriented modelling. In Agha et al.(Eds.):Concurrent OOP and PN, LNCS 2001, pp 406-427, Berlin Heidelberg. Springer Verlag 2001.
- Merseguer, J., Campos, J., Bernardi, S., and Donatelli, S. (2002). A compositional semantics for UML state machines aimed at performance evaluation. In Proc. 6th Int. W/S on Discrete Event Systems (WODES'02), pp 295-302, Zaragoza, Spain. IEEE Computer Society.
- Nawana, H. (1996). Software agents: An overview. *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, 11(3):pp 1–40.
- Philippi, S. (2000). Seamless object-oriented software development on a formal base. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Software Engineering and Petri Nets, 21st International Conference on Application and Theory of Petri Nets.
- Rober, G. (1999). An incremental process for software implementation. *Sloan Management Review*, Winter:pp 39–52.
- Rysavy, O. (2003). A survey on approaches to formal representation of UML, retrieved on june 10, 2005 from:. Technical report, Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic, web site.
- Saldana, J. A. and Shatz, S. M. (2001). Formalization of object behavior and interactions from UML models. *International Journal of Software Engineering* and Knowledge Engineering (IJSEKE), 11 No. 6:643– 673.
- Singh, M. (2000). Synthesizing coordination requirements for heterogeneous autonomous agents. Autonomous agents and multiagent systems, 3(2):pp 107–132.
- Tosic, P. and Agha, G. (2004). Towards a hierarchical taxonomy of autonomous agents. In Proc. IEEE Int'l Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (IEEE-SNC'04), The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Varro, D. (2002). A formal semantics of uml statecharts by model transition systems. In *ICGT '02: Proc. 1st Int. Conf. on Graph Transformation*, pages 378–392, London, UK. Springer-Verlag.
- Venkatraman, N. (1994). IT-enabled business. *Sloan Management Review*, Winter:pp 73 87.

- Wagenhals, L., Haider, S., and Lewis, A. H. (2002). Synthesizing executable models of object oriented architectures. In CRPITS '12: Proc. Conf. on Application and Theory of Petri Nets, pages 85–93, Darlinghurst, Australia, Australia. Australian Computer Society, Inc.
- Wooldridg, M. and Jennings, N. (1995). Intelligent agents: Theory and practice. *The Knowledge Engineering Re*view, 10(2):pp 115–152.
- Wooldridge, M. and Ciancarini, P. (2000). Agent-oriented software engineering:the sate of the art. In *Proc. 1st Int. W/S (AOSE-2000)*. Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany.
- Wooldridge, M., Jennings, N., and Kinny, D. (1999). A methodology for agent-oriented analysis sand design. In Proc. 3rd Conf. On Autonomous Agents, Eds, O. Etzioni, J. P. Mller, J.M. Bradshaw. ACM Press.
- Zhao, Y., Fan, Y., Bai, X., Wang, Y., Cai, H., and Ding, W. (2004). Towards formal verification of UML diagrams based on graph transformation. In *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on E-Commerce Tech. for Dynamic E-Business* (*CEC-East'04*).



Figure 5: OCPN Class Model of User Agent Class.