New e-HRM Typology: From Broadcasting towards Supply Chain Support

Tanya Bondarouk¹ and Marco Maatman²

¹University of Twente, School of Management and Governance, OOHR Department P.O. Box 217 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

Abstract. We argue that an existing classification of e-HRM, known as a division between transactional, relational, and transformational (based on a canonical work of Lepak and Snell [11]), doesn't meet all expectations of the multidisciplinary character of e-HRM and Human Resource Information Systems. Built mainly on the ideas from the HRM field, it lacks attention to such properties as coordination of information and its exchange, capturing knowledge domain, and communication languages. We propose to broaden existing typology by inclusion insights from the field of information technologies. In the suggested typology, e-HRM / HRIS is classified along ontological, coordination, user-interface, adaptation, and HR function impact blocks; allowing for distinguishing five types of e-HRM: static and customized informational, pooled and sequential transactional, and supply chain delivery support. We see several advantages in using this typology for the practitioners, the most important is that it helps to evaluate the stage of e-HRM / HRIS development and foresee horizons for improvements.

1 Introduction

It was more than a decade ago when Lepak and Snell [11] announced that, in response to external and internal environmental pressures and extensive differentiation, Information Technologies (IT) offered to Human Resource Management structural integration through implementing Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) are Electronic Human Resource Management (e-HRM). Three channels for IT-based structural integration of HRM were proposed. First, IT was considered as influencing *operational* aspects of HRM by helping to overcome the administrative burdens and streamlining operations. Second, IT was considered as influencing *relational* aspects of HRM, by enabling remote access to data bases, enhancing abilities to connect with different parts within dispersed organizations, and supporting information sharing with outside service providers. Third affect that IT was assumed to have on the HRM, was even called "the most dramatic" as it was linked with the *transformational* HRM integration (*ibid*, p. 220), considering IT's impact on communications, demolishing organizational boundaries, eliminating barriers of time and space, and supporting virtual HRM and network organizations [11].

Putting forward to nowadays, recent developments in IT's and WEB 2.0, increased flexibility and scope of e-tools, at one hand, and diversity of the workforce, huge

investments in intellectual capital, the war for talent, fashion and brand management, at the other hand, - are at once increasing variations in e-HRM applications, validate limitations of the conventional three-set e-HRM typology, and forcing to nuance it.

However, before proposing a new typology for the e-HRM applications, we first make an overview of existing classifications of the HR practices. This will allow us to extract the tendency in viewing HR practices, and integrate it with the e-HRM applications.

2 Existing Typologies of HR Practices

Snell et	Operational	Relational	Transformational		
al., (1995)	- F		Restructuring, reengineering, outsourcing, and strategic alliances created by organizations		
[14]	Informing, making information available, and use for decision support	HR databases, supporting HR-related decisions, and increasing their ability to connect with other parts of the corporation			
Huselid et	Technical HRM		Strategic HRM Compensations systems, team-based job design, flexible work-forces, quality improvement practices, employee empowerment		
al. (1997) [7]	Recruiting, selection, training, and the adminis benefits	performance measurement, tration of compensation and			
Carrig (1997)	Transactional	Traditional	Transformational		
[1]	Benefits administration, record keeping, employee services, communication, performance management	Performance management, training, recruiting, employee relations, compensation, management development	Management development, busines partner, strategic planning, organizatio development, knowledge management		
Lepak and Snell (1998)	Traditional Low uniqueness,	Peripheral Same as traditional, but	Core HR activities Highly unique and	Idiosyncrati c HR activities Highly	
[11]	high generic value and wide spread	of low value	highly valuable (for attaining a competitive advantage)	unique with relatively low value (for attaining a competitive advantage)	
Legnick- Hall and Moritz (2003)	Publishing information	Automation of transactions	Transformation of the w in the organization	ay HR is conducted	
[9]		Workflow, supply chain integration, electronic input	Strategic partnering, centres of expertise, service centre administration		
Gardner et al. (2003) [5]	Automation	Information	Transformation		
Delmotte and Sels (2005) [3]	Transactional	Transformational (procedures)	Transformational (people)	Strategic	
Lepak et al. (2005)	Transactional	Traditional	Transformational		
[10]	Benefits administration, record keeping, employee services, communication,	Performance management, training, recruiting, compensation, management development	Management development, business partner, strategic planning, organization development, knowledge management		

Table 1. Categorizations of (e)-HR practices (chronological order).

Table 1 shows some of the classifications of HR practices often used in e-HRM studies. Authors use different typologies, aiming at their "ideal" classification, to find a key for the HRM digitalization patterns and factors for the successful implementation of e-HRM. The main border positions HR practices along a continuum between technical or traditional and strategic, value-creating practices. Professional debates continue on ranking HR practices as candidates for digitalization. For example, the latest CedarCrestone survey [2] covering 828 responses from mostly North American companies (89%) distinguishes four e-HRM applications:

- Administrative and Workforce Management applications (the core HR, payroll, record-keeping systems, time management and absence management),
- Service Delivery applications (self-service transactional services),
- Strategic HR applications (talent acquisitions/services, eLearning, training enrolment, performance management, succession planning, competence planning, workforce planning), and
- Business Intelligence applications (when combined, they enable organizations to move towards metrics-based management).

According to the survey results, Administrative e-HR applications are "very mature with some movement from in-house to software-as-a-service solutions". These e-HR applications are now seen as moving towards a hosted solution or to full outsourcing [2, p.8]. Implementation of Workforce Management applications is accelerating and, as foreseen in the survey, will be increasingly used in organizations, where flexible and agile scheduling is needed (*ibid*).

Service Delivery applications [HR-oriented help desk, employee self-service (ESS), manager self-service (MSS)] continue to be adopted with their potential ability to bring extra value through serving more employees with the same or fewer staff, and reducing transaction cycle time and costs (*ibid*). An interesting observation from the survey is that when an ESS or MSS is introduced, five more employees can be served by the same number of HR staff, and even more with the move to a call centre.

Applications are viewed as strategic in the way that they help an organization acquire, develop, and retain the right talent as well as make productive use of all workers [2, p. 14].

Reviewing the data from the CedarCrestone research [2], it is not difficult to observe symptoms or identifications of 'practical' e-HRM patterns, although strict conclusions are difficult to make. As stated, companies are progressively implementing more e-HRM, but recently it was observed that HRM professionals (and their companies) are no longer surprised by the e-HRM phenomenon. Whatever typology is used ("academic" or "practical"), it is clear that the adoption of e-HRM grows from technical applications towards strategic ones. Organizations have 'grown up' with administrative e-HRM and are ready for serious discussions about strategic applications and their implementations. Another intriguing issue is that while the effectiveness of e-HRM is justified by quantifying strategic success (operating income growth), the classification of e-HRM / HRIS applications receives less attention.

Reasons to Introduce a New Typology of e-HRM. Why do so many (differences in) classifications of HR practices exist?

First of all, these variety can be explained as reflecting the variety of (e)-HR practices in the reality of organizations. Secondly, different conceptualizations of the role of the same practices confuse the classification. Third, studies might differ in their understandings of the (e-)HR practices objectives.

We argue that the above mentioned classifications of (e-)HRM practices are not enough for modern e-HRM for four reasons:

- They mix HRM fields and activities while for e-tolls it is crucially important to focus on doing e-HRM. Thus, within such a transformational HR one can easily find administrative and relational components. For example, to conduct a strategic planning, HR specialists need to administer the data and communicate it to the business partners.
- They do not echo different levels of interdependence between stakeholders involved in e-HRM: HR professionals, line managers, employees.
- They do not consider or mix the impacts of an application of the HR function. For example, is transformational e-HRM a type of e-HRM or a result of its implementation?
- Existing typologies of e-HRM practices ignore the expertise of IT modelling as a potential to bring an extra classification criteria.

3 Five Dimensions to Distinguish e-HRM Types

We suggest that a new e-HRM typology should be based on five dimensions that integrate HRM and IT foci, instead of being linked with the names of the HRM fields:

- Ontological Dimension. Seen as a description of the concepts and relationships that exist in a community [6]. Ontology in e-HRM applications aims at capturing HRM domain knowledge in a generic way and provide a common understanding of HRM, which may be reused and shared across applications. Ontology as a field of philosophy exists for thousands years. It is underlying question, "What exists? What is it?" has found its way in IT and cognitive sciences in more specific forms. E-HRM has to solve the problem "Which HRM content is (to be) represented in a formal e-tool?" All in all, e-HRM types have to be distinguished on the basis of HRM language they use, and concepts as building blocks.
- *Coordination Dimension.* Seen as a process of managing dependencies between (HRM) activities [12]. Organizational and management science have since long researched coordination mechanisms with the focus on how people coordinate their activities in formal organizations. E-HRM types have to be distinguished on the basis of the coordination structure that is used among all users, information exchange mechanisms, and communication forms among e-HRM stakeholders.
- User-Interface dimension. Seen as the medium to support the two-way exchange of symbols and actions between humans (users) and computers. In other words, an

interface supports the communication between users (people) and computers. There are at least two metaphors that describe ways in which humans interact with computers: the conversational world and the model world. In the conversational world, the end-user describes what to do, typically using a command language. In the model world, the end-user shows what to do by "grabbing" and manipulating (e.g., with a mouse) visual representations of objects. Thus, direct manipulations are used to describe this interaction style. The research field of Human-Computer Interaction has been identifying different aspects of user-interface, focusing on the development, evaluation, and cognitive aspects of human-computer interaction [4]. E-HRM types have to be distinguished on the issues of usability of the e-tools such as the ability to change the information, display of the user-role, dependency conflicts, and the focus on the interactions between user operations.

- Adaptability Dimension. Seen as the capacity of e-tools to collect, save and analyze the information from end-users, and based on the analysis to adapt it to the needs of the users. Adaptability is often concerned with personalization and customization of the content and navigation of applications. The difference between personalization and customization lays in the question, respectively, whether the technology self is designed to adapt to users' behavior, or users themselves should adapt an application to their preferences [8]. For the distinction between different types of e-HRM it means adaptability of e-HRM tools of the HRM content, its presentation, and navigation of the applications.
- *Impact on the HR Function.* Seen as the role of e-HRM in re-dividing of the responsibilities held by different actors within the HRM (line managers, HR professionals and employees). In other words, e-HRM tools should be differentiated on the basis of who is involved (actors), at which level of an organization, and what are the HRM responsibilities performed through e-tools.

4 e-HRM Types

We distinguish three main categories of e-HRM that are further divided into five types:

- Informational e-HRM: (1) Static informational/ broadcasting support, and (2) Customized / personalized information provision

- Transactional e-HRM: (3) Pooled transactional, and (4) Sequential transactional

- Transformational: (5) Supply chain delivery support

Table 2 below unfolds the five types of e-HRM.

Table 2. Types of e-HRM.

S					Transformation	
Dimer	Informa	tional e-HRM	Transactional e-HRM		e-HRM	
<u>.</u>	Static informational/broadca sting support	Customized/perso nalized information provision	Pooled transaction support	Sequential transaction support (workflow support)	Supply chain delivery support	
Ontological dimension	Broadcasting/publ ishing of information, such as: - Rules and legislation - HR policies/practices - Announcements / news	Generating reports on org. unit's human capital properties, such as: - formation and occupation - expenditures/budg ets - skill levels (compared to requirements) - (sick) leave - mobility - demographic composition Viewing employee properties, such as: - personal data - classifications (education/experie nce) - attendances and absences - performance	Administration of org. unit's human capital targets, such as: - budgets - formation - job requirements - performance targets Administration of employee properties, such as: - personal data - classifications (education/experie nce) - attendances and absences - performance - appraisals	Approval/denial of requests, such as: - leave - expenses claims Provision of feedback, such as: - performance Supplementation of records to be archived, such as: - results of calculations made by professionals - supplementation of data with restricted access	Creation of product, such as: - developed career path - composition of an advice Creation of an outcome: such as: - an equipped employee (skills and equipment) - qualified project team	
Coordination model	 Information is published by one (type of) user, but can be consulted by whomever is granted access to the information can be consulted simultaneously by multiple users The information offered is the same for every user granted access to the information There is no interaction between the users of the technology 	- appraisals - Information is extracted from a database - Access to the information in the database is often user specified in as much detail as necessary - The information provided may be specified by user requirements - Specific information objects can be consulted by multiple users at the same time - There is no interaction between the users by means of the technology	 Individual users perform operations on data in a database Access to the information in the database is often user specified in as much detail as necessary There is no interaction (or sequencing) between the users by means of the technology Users cannot perform administrative operations on a specific instance of an information object simultaneously Dependency is created as different users can access and perform operations on one specific instance of an object (not simultaneously) A single user can be involved in operations on several instances of different objects (endeavor), these endeavors however are independent for the technology 	 Multiple users are involved in performing operation on an instance of an object Access to an instance of an object is granted by a defined relationship between the users There is sequential dependency between the operations to be made on the instance of the object by the users The second user starts operating on the instance of the object as the first user has finished (output of the first user acts as input for the second) A single user can be involved in multiple operations on several instances of different objects (endeavor), these endeavors can belong to each other but are not necessarily dependant The technology provides mechanisms to provide the user status information on all the endeavors the user is involved in 	 Multiple users are performing operations on a single instance of an object The interdependencies are superficially created for the operations on the specific object based on the constraints provide for the creation of the object The systems allows the coordination between the operations on the system sallows the coordination between the operations of the users Dependency is created as different users perform operations on one specific instance of the object One user may be dependent on all other user through a complex acomplex acomplex and the system towards the end product may consist out of various combination of polled and sequential interdependency. Simultaneously operating on a specific instance of an object be different users is possible 	

Table 2. Types of e-fixing (continuation	Table 2.	Types	of e-HRM	(continuation)).
-------------------------------------------------	----------	-------	----------	----------------	----

User-interface model	The data is presented in a single manner The users are unable to change the representation of the information Context information is probably not presented by the interface as this is integrated in the information broadcasted	Users can be enabled to change the representation of the information The presentation of the information can be made dependant of the user's role The User-interface probably displays some structural, organizational and even social context	The opportunities for operations on the objects through the interface can be made user- dependant The interface displays the role of the user performing the operations The user-interface probably displays some structural, organizational and even social context The interface displays dependency conflicts	The user interface displays the status of the object The interface displays the role of the user performing the operations The interface displays dependency conflicts The user interface provides information on the participants of the operations on the operations on the structural, organizational and even social context	The user interface displays the status of the object The interface displays the role and the tasks of the user performing the operations The interface is focused on the interaction necessary between the different user performing operations on an instance of an object The user-interface displays structural, organizational and even social context
Type adaptation	- Solely user- interface customization (static adaptability of presentation by user)	 Adaptability (personalization) based on the user (user data) of the technology (static adaptability of the content presented) Adaptability (customization) based on the behavior (data usage) of the user (dynamic adaptability on the content presented) Static information support 	 Adaptability (personalization) based on the user (user data) of the technology (static adaptability of the content presented) Adaptability (customization) based on the behavior (data usage) of the user (dynamic adaptability on the content presented) Personalized information support 	 Adaptability (personalization) based on the user (user data) of the technology (static adaptability of the content presented) Adaptability (customization) based on the behavior (data usage) of the user (dynamic adaptability on the content presented) Personalized information support 	 Adaptability based on the surroundings of the product to be made (dynamic personalized adaptation) Transactional support
Impact on the functioning of the HR function	- Minimum impact on the functioning of the HR	 Medium impact Data made transparent for employees and managers Less need for information provision by HR professionals 	 Considerable impact Devolution of tasks to line managers and employees Less administration tasks performed by HR professionals 	 Considerable impact Less face-to-face consulting neccessary Standardized IT driven procedures 	 Large Impact Integrated HR function Integrated service provision

References

- 1. Carrig, K.: Reshaping Human Resources for the next century lessons from a high flying airline. Hum. Res. Man., Summer (1997) 277-289
- 2. CedarCrestone 2008 -2009 HR Systems Survey, 11th Annual Edition
- 3. Delmotte, J., Sells, L.: HR-outsoursing: kans of bedreiging? Brussel, Federgon (2005)
- 4. Finstad, K.: Analogical Problem Solving in Casual and Experienced Users: When Interface Consistency Leads to Inappropriate Transfer. Hum Comp. Inter. 23 (4) (2008) 384 405
- 5. Gardner, S.D., Lepak, D.P., Bartol, K.M.: Virtual HR: The impact of information technology on the human resource professional. J. of Voc. Beh., 63 (2003) 159-179
- Gruber, T.: Toward Principles for the Design of Ontologies used for Knowledge Sharing. In: Guarino, N., Poli, R. (Eds.): Formal Ontology in Conceptual Analysis and Knowledge Representation, Kluwer (1993) 415–438
- 7. Huselid, M.A., Jackson, S.E., Schuler, R.S.: Technical and strategic human resource management effectiveness as determinants of firm performance. Academy of Man. J., 40 (1997) 171-188

- Kobsa, A., Koeneman, J.J., Pohl, W.: Personalized Hypermedia Presentation Techniques for Improving Online Customer Relationships. The Knowledge Eng. Review 16, 111 – 115
- 9. Legnick-Hall, M.L., Moritz, S.: The impact of e-HR on the Human Resource Management function. J. of Lab. Res., 24 (2003) 365-379
- Lepak, D. P., Bartol, K.M., Erhardt, N.L.: A Contingency framework for the delivery of HR practices. Hum. Res. Man. Rev, 15 (2005) 139-159
- Lepak, D.P., Snell, S.A.: Virtual HR: Strategic Human Resource management in the 31st century. Hum. Res. Man. Rev., 8 (1998) 215-234
- Malone, T., Crowstone, K.: The Interdisciplinary Study of Coordination. ACM Computing Surveys 26 (1994)
- 13. Michalewicz, Z.: Genetic Algorithms + Data Structures = Evolution Programs. 3rd edn. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1996)
- Snell, S.A., Pedigo, P.R., & Krawiec, G.M.: Managing the impact of information technology on Human Resource Management. In: Ferris, G.R., Rosen, S.D., Barnum, D.T. (Eds.): Handbook of Human Resource Management, Oxford. Blackwall Publishers (1995) 159 - 174