Automatic Obstacle Classification using Laser and Camera Fusion

Aurelio Ponz¹, C. H. Rodríguez-Garavito², Fernando García¹, Philip Lenz³, Christoph Stiller³ and J. M. Armingol¹

¹Intelligent Systems Lab, Universidad Carlos III De Madrid, Butarque, 15, Leganés, Madrid, Spain ²Automation Engineering Department, Universidad de La Salle, Bogotá, Colombia

³Institut für Mess- und Regelungstechnik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany



Keywords: Computer Vision, Lidar, Advanced Driving Assistance System, Sensor Fusion.

Abstract:

State of the art Driving Assistance Systems and Autonomous Driving applications are employing sensor fusion in order to achieve trustable obstacle detection and classification under any meteorological and illumination condition. Fusion between laser and camera is widely used in ADAS applications in order to overcome the difficulties and limitations inherent to each of the sensors. In the system presented, some novel techniques for automatic and unattended data alignment are used and laser point clouds are exploited using Artificial Intelligence techniques to improve the reliability of the obstacle classification. New approaches to the problem of clustering sparse point clouds have been adopted, maximizing the information obtained from low resolution lasers. After improving cluster detection, AI techniques have been used to classify the obstacle not only with vision, but also with laser information. The fusion of the information acquired from both sensors, adding the classification capabilities of the laser, improves the reliability of the system.

1 INTRODUCTION

About 1.2 million people die every year in the world as a consequence of traffic accidents (WHO, 2009). ADAS use widely lasers and cameras to detect and classify obstacles on the road. These sensors are complementary, as the laser's ability to detect obstacles regardless of the light quality and to select Regions of Interest (ROI) for camera classification, improves remarkably the speed and accuracy of the CV classification in the images from the camera.

The present work has been developed using the Intelligent Vehicle based on Visual Information 2.0 (IVVI 2.0) (Figure 1), the Intelligent Systems Lab's research platform (Martín et al., 2014).

The article is divided in the following sections: Section 2 provides scientific context of the state of the art in the related domain. Section 3 is a general description of the system. Section 4 describes the method for laser point cloud (PC) clustering, which is the initial part of obstacle detection. Section 5 outlines the data alignment process, essential for a correct data association between the camera and the laser system. Section 6 depicts the strategy for obstacle classification with a Support Vector Machine (SVM). Finally, conclusions for the present work are presented.

2 RELATED WORK

The work described in the present paper covers several fields with interesting state of the art. Regarding the automatic and unattended data alignment phase in our system, (Li et al., 2011) proposed a method for calibration using a chessboard pattern, (Rodríguez-Garavito et al., 2014) proposed a method for automatic camera and laser calibration, based on PC reconstruction of the road surface. Other approaches such as (Li et al., 2011) and (Kwak et al., 2011) projects the features into a 2D plane to minimize the distance among the features in the different sensors. (Lisca et al., 2010) presents a CAD model based calibration system for inter-sensor matching. Similar approach based on triangular model is presented in (Debattisti et al., 2013) and based on circular models in (Fremont and Bonnifait, 2008).

Data fusion detection approaches can be divided in centralized and decentralized schemes. Some examples of decentralized schemes can be found in (Premebida et al., 2009) and (Premebida et al., 2010) with different algorithms to combine the features from computer vision and laser , such as Naïve Bayes, GMMC, NN, FLDA. Decentralized schemes

Ponz A., H. Rodríguez-Garavito C., García F., Lenz P., Stiller C. and M. Armingol J.

Automatic Obstacle Classification using Laser and Camera Fusion.

DOI: 10.5220/0005459600190024

In Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Vehicle Technology and Intelligent Transport Systems (VEHITS-2015), pages 19-24 ISBN: 978-989-758-109-0

Copyright © 2015 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.)



Figure 1: IVVI 2.0 research platform.

implements detection and classification on each sensor independently and a further fusion stage combines the detection according to the certainty provided by each sensor. (Spinello and Siegwart, 2008) provides high level fusion based on multidimensional features for laser and Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) for computer vision. (García et al., 2012) provides pedestrian detection based on pedestrian's leg model for laser and HOG features for computer vision for distributed pedestrian detection and danger evaluation. (García et al., 2014) takes advantage of advance fusion techniques (i.e. Joint Probabilistic Data Association Filter) to enhance decentralised pedestrian detection.

3 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

This work is included in the IVVI 2.0 project (Figure 1). IVVI 2.0 is the second platform for development and research of ADAS technologies of the Intelligent Systems Lab, at Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.

In the presented application, a Sick LDMRS 4layer Laser and a stereo camera are used. Laser for primary obstacle detection and later for classification and stereo capability from the camera is used for PC ground representation and data alignment parameters estimation; later one of the cameras is used for image capturing.

The laser generates a PC from which the system extracts the obstacles as clusters of points. These clusters are used both for ROI generation in the images and as information for obstacle classification. The extracted ROIs in the image are processed for obstacle classification using AI methods applied to CV. The last step in the process performs further information fusion between laser and camera for a final obstacle classification based on machine learning.

4 POINT CLOUD CLUSTERING FOR LASER DETECION

The first step in our system is the obstacle detection using laser generated PCs. The laser obtains a PC representing some of the reality in front of the vehicle. Obstacles are part of this reality and can be located as local concentrations of points in the PC that can be mathematically categorized as clusters. Several clustering techniques have been studied in order to obtain the highest and most reliable amount of information from the PC. It is important to note that obstacles to be detected will be represented by very few points in the PC, typically from four points to not much more than fifty depending on the distance to the vehicle, due to laser limitations. Most of the clustering strategies already available are designed for highly populated PC, obtained from high definition multilayer laser scanners or stereo cameras, and do not adapt well to our outdoor, sparse PCs offering limited information.



Figure 2: Angular resolutions by sector in the laser. Maximum resolution front, minimum in the sides.

4.1 Adapted Euclidean Distance and Geometrically Constrained Clusters

In this approach, a classical Euclidean distance clustering strategy has been adopted, modulated by several parameters in order to modify the clustering behaviour, such as distance from the sensor to the obstacle, geometrical constraints, allowed number of points in every cluster, etc.

In this approach, clusters are defined as the set of points separated a distance variable as a function of several parameters, plus some points meeting some geometric constraints, such as belonging to the same line in the space than some of the points in the cluster.

The strategy is defined as an iterative addition of points to the cluster with the following steps:



Figure 3: Axis representation: X=laser-obstacle distance, Z=detection height, Y=horizontal deviation.

First point in the PC is taken as the first point in the cluster.

All the other points in the PC are checked to have a distance smaller than the cluster threshold *ClusterTh*

$$ClusterTh = BaseTh + DistCorr(x)$$

$$DistCorr(x) = \sqrt{\left(x \tan(\alpha_y)\right)^2 + (x \tan(\alpha_z))^2}$$
if $\left| \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \right| < 2\pi \frac{10}{360}$ then $\alpha_y = 2\pi \frac{0.125}{360}$
if $2\pi \frac{10}{360} \le \left| \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \right| < 2\pi \frac{30}{360}$ then α_y

$$= 2\pi \frac{0.25}{360}$$
(1)

if
$$2\pi \frac{30}{360} \le \left| \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \right| < 2\pi \frac{60}{360}$$
 then $\alpha_y = 2\pi \frac{0.5}{360}$

where x,y,z are point's coordinates. Due to laser restrictions, α_z is always 0.8°, *BaseTh* is a parameter experimentally determined as the base threshold. *DistCorr(x)* is a function of the *x* coordinate which ensures that no distance smaller than the minimum physically possible distance will be required, as seen in Equation (1), and depending on the different angular resolutions seen in Figure 2. *DistCorr(x)* is computed as the minimum distance possible between two consecutive points in *z* and *y* coordinates. α_y Represents the angle between two consecutive laser reads in horizontal (y axis) and α_z is the angle between two consecutive laser reads in vertical (*z* axis).

All the points in the PC are checked for cluster inclusion. The same iteration is performed for every point added to the cluster until all cross checks are performed. Then, points close to the obstacle but not belonging to the cluster are included into a temporary new PC together with the obtained cluster, and then lines are searched in the new cluster using RANSAC. If lines are found containing a determined minimum of points belonging to the original cluster and points not belonging to it, then these points are added to the cluster. This strategy has proven to be effective for oblique obstacles.

Figure 4 shows the result of the algorithm. Red dots are the cluster created by Euclidean Adapted distance. Blue dots are the points close to the cluster but not belonging to it. Yellow lines are 3D lines found by RANSAC, including points from the original cluster and points from the extended cluster.



Figure 4: Extended cluster. Blue points are added to the cluster as they share a line with points in the cluster.

Upon completion of cluster extraction, it is checked against the parameters *ClusterTolerance* for maximum width of cluster in meters, and *minClusterSize* and *maxClusterSize* for minimum and maximum number of points, respectively. These parameters are also a function of the distance to the obstacle.

The strategy is addressed to obtain the most populated clusters possible, taking into account that we are using a low resolution multilayer laser. The threshold distance must be adapted to the distance xfrom the laser sensor to the obstacle, as the distance between consecutive laser points grows with x. Due to laser construction limitations, the minimum distance detected in y and z in consecutive points will be greater than the initial threshold if not adapted following Equation (1).

4.2 Ground Detection and Removal from Point Cloud

As outlined in Section 5, our system can compute the plane corresponding to the road surface, so it is possible to remove ground plane points from the list of detected clusters.

5 DATA ALIGNMENT

Our system is based in data fusion between several sensors, based on different physical phenomena. Thus each of these sensors has its own system of reference, and extrinsic parameters between sensors system of reference must be estimated in order to perform the data alignment.

To achieve the necessary alignment, rotation and translation between sensors must be estimated. Some methods have already been proposed by other authors, involving chessboards or specific patterns (Li et al., 2007) detectable by all of the sensors involved in the fusion (Kwak et al., 2011). This is cumbersome and requires driver implication or some help from others, needs specific and stationary environment and to be performed manually again in case of change of orientation or translation between sensors.



Figure 5: Obstacle detection based on cluster computation. Dark blue is the cluster, cyan is the ROI for vision classification.

Our approach estimates the extrinsic parameters of all the sensors involved, and calibration between them, assuming flat surface in front of the vehicle, thus sensor's height and two rotation angles can already be determined. For the third angle computation, any identifiable obstacle located in the road surface can be used.

Applying the M-estimator-Sample-Consensus (MSAC) algorithm for plane detection in the PCs obtained from the stereo camera and from the laser, the most populated planes are found from the clouds in the form

$$\pi_{(X)}: ax_c + by_c + cz_c + d = 0 \tag{2}$$

which can be written in the Hessian form

$$\pi_{(X)}:\vec{n}.\vec{p}=h\tag{3}$$

where \vec{n} is the vector normal to the road plane, and the relation between this vector and the camera and laser rotation angles can be computed as in (Rodríguez-Garavito et al., 2014).

Once all the calibration parameters, i.e. roll, pitch, yaw and x,y,z translations between sensors have been computed, the system is able to translate from laser coordinates into camera coordinates in the image for obstacle classification using Computer Vision.

The conversion between laser and image coordinate systems can be performed as in equation (4):



where T represents the translation vector and R the rotation matrix between sensors.

6 OBSTACLE CLASSIFICATION USING LASER AND IMAGE INFORMATION FUSION

Obstacle classification in this work can be performed with single sensor information or using sensor fusion information.

6.1 SVM Classification

Classification is performed using the SVM implementation from the Computer Vision OpenCV library. SVM algorithm was developed by Vapnik & Cortes (Cortes and Vapnik, 1995) and is widely used in machine learning. In the present work, a database of manually labelled images and clusters is used to execute a supervised learning process. After the training process, the SVM structures are stored and used for classification of images and clusters as seen in Figure 6 and Figure 8.

6.2 Laser Feature Vector

Clusters detected in laser generated PCs are used to determine a ROI in the image, but can also be used for obstacle classification without image support (Premebida et al., 2009).

Clusters are converted into a mesh structure by Delaunay triangulation in order to reconstruct the shape of the obstacle, as seen in Figure 7.

Training Process for clusters



Figure 6: SVM learning process for clusters: Training and classification.

Previous works (Premebida et al., 2009) have considered 2D PCs for classification, but the present work is intended to extract features from a 3D PC. The features considered are described in Table 2.



Figure 7: Mesh representation of a cluster. Triangles represent the obstacle surface.

Table 1: Features considered for cluster classification

Concentration: Normalized mean distance (NMD) to the centroid 3D
Y-Z, X-Z, X-Y concentration: NMD to the centroid excluding x,y,z
Planicity: NMD to the most populated plane
Sphericity: NMD to the most populated sphere
Cubicity: Measures how far are the planes containing the mesh triangles from being the same plane or from being perpendicular.
Triangularity: Measures the triangles uniformity
Average deviation from the median in x,y,z

6.3 Computer Vision Feature Vector

As pointed before, obstacles found in the Laser PC as clusters are used to determine a ROI in the image suitable for applying SVM for obstacle classification in images.

These images have been manually labelled as frontal view, back view, side view, frontal oblique view and back oblique view. Later, Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) features are extracted from every image, and SVM training is performed following the process outlined in Figure 8, in order to obtain the SVM classifier.



Figure 8: SVM learning process for images: Training and classification.

6.4 Information Fusion

In poor illumination conditions, when the camera offers no help, laser obstacle classification can still be used, but the real advantage of the sensor fusion resides in the combination of multisensor information to obtain a result which is greater than the mere sum of the individual contributions. (García et al., 2014). Information fusion will be performed with SVM and results will be compared with individual sensor classifications.

7 PRELIMINARY RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The presented work is currently under development but its results have been preliminarily tested, showing better figures using sensor fusion for classification than single sensor classification, as presumed, and very promising expectations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish Government through the CICYT projects (TRA2013-48314-C3-1-R) and (TRA2011-29454-C03-02.

REFERENCES

- Debattisti, S, Mazzei, L & Panciroli, M 2013. Automated extrinsic laser and camera inter-calibration using triangular targets. Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), 2013 IEEE, 2013, pp. 696–701.
- Cortes, C & Vapnik, V 1995, *Support vector network*, Machine Learning, vol. 20, pp. 1-25.
- Fremont, V & Bonnifait, P 2008. Extrinsic calibration between a multi-layer lidar and a camera. 2008 IEEE Int. Conf. Multisens. Fusion Integr. Intell. Syst., 2008.
- García, F, Jiménez, F, Naranjo, JE, Zato, JG, Aparicio, F, Armingol, JM & de la Escalera, A. 2012. *Environment* perception based on LIDAR sensors for real road applications.
- applications. García, F, García, J, Ponz, A, de la Escalera, A & Armingol, JM 2014. Context Aided Pedestrian Detection for Danger Estimation Based on Laser and Computer Vision. Expert Systems with Applications, Vol: 41 (15), pp.6646-6661.
- Kaempchen, N, Buehler, M & Dietmayer, K 2005. Feature-level fusion for free-form object tracking using laserscanner and video. IEEE Proceedings Intelligent Vehicles Symposium 2005, pp. 453–458, 2005.
- Kwak, K, Huber, DF, Badino, H & Kanade, T. 2011 *Extrinsic calibration of a single line scanning lidar* and a camera. IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robot. Syst., pp. 3283–3289, 2011.
- Li, Y, Ruichek, Y & Cappelle, D 2011. 3D triangulation based extrinsic calibration between a stereo vision system and a LIDAR. 14th Int. IEEE Conf. Intell. Transp. Syst., pp. 797–802, 2011.
- Li, Y, Liu, Y, Dong, L, Cai, X 2007. An algorithm for extrinsic parameters calibration of a camera and a laser range finder using line features, IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robot. Syst.
- Lisca, G, Jeong, PJP & Nedevschi, S 2010. Automatic one step extrinsic calibration of a multi layer laser relative to a stereo camera. Intell. Comput. Commun. Process. (ICCP), 2010 IEEE Int. Conf., 2010.
- Martín, D, García, F, Musleh, B, Olmeda, D, Marín, P, Ponz, A, Rodríguez, CH, Al-Kaff, A, de la Escalera, A & Armingol, JM 2014. *IVVI 2.0: An intelligent vehicle based on computational perception.* Expert Systems with Applications 41.
- Premebida, C, Ludwig, O & Nunes, U 2009. LIDAR and Vision-Based Pedestrian Detection System. Journal of Field Robotics, vol. 26, no. Iv, pp. 696–711, 2009.

- Premebida, C, Ludwig, O, Silva, M & Nunes, U 2010. A Cascade Classifier applied in Pedestrian Detection using Laser and Image-based Features. Transportation, pp. 1153–1159, 2010.
- Rodríguez-Garavito, CH, Ponz, A, García, F, Martín, D, de la Escalera, A & Armingol, JM 2014. *Automatic Laser and Camera Extrinsic Calibration for Data Fusion Using Road Plane.*
- Spinello, L & Siegwart, R, 2008. Human detection using multimodal and multidimensional features. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 3264-3269. DOI: 10.1109/ROBOT.2009.4543708.
- WHO, 2009. *Global status report on road safety. Time for action.* WHO library cataloguing-in-publication data, World Health Organization 2009, ISBN 978-9-241563-84-0, Geneva, Switzerland.

