Is Peer Education an Effective Method on Breast Cancers' Patient? A Systematic Review

Diana Pefbrianti¹, Desak Gede Agung Suprabawati² and Esti Yunitasari¹ ¹Faculty of Nursing Universitas Airlangga, Kampus C Mulyorejo, Surabaya, Indonesia ²Specialist Oncologist, RSUD Dr. Soetomo Surabaya

Keywords: Peer-education, Breast-cancer, Qol, Knowledge

Abstract: There is increasing interest regarding peer education in healthcare. Peer education is a process of communication, information and education conducted by peers are among groups, fellow colleagues professions, gender. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of peer education in improving quality of life and knowledge among breast cancers' patient. We identified articles through databases searching: Sage, Proquest, Science Direct and EbscoHost, published between (2006-2016). The ten articles were analyzed and selected from 1540: The studied evaluated that peer education is recomended for the breast cancers' patient to increase their quality of life and knowledge among breast cancers' patient. However many of these studied still lacked of method, so we suggest to the next research using more RCT to get better results.

1 BACKGROUND

Breast cancer is the most prevalent cancer in woman (Stanton 2005). World Health Organization showed that 12 million people around the world had breast cancer and 7,6 million of them death. In Indonesia the prevalence of breast cancer increase about 100 patients from 100.000 people each year. The data from Sistem Informasi Rumah Sakit (SIRS), 2007 showed that breast cancer is the most disease in inpatient ward in hospital (16,8%). Dharmais hospital noted that breast cancer became the most desease occur in 5 years late (32%). In Indonesia, the most prevalence of breast cancer happen in west java and east java.

Based on National Cancer Institute, physic and psychology condition of patients examined at the first diagnoses till the end of her life. Research by (Heydarnejad 2009). This study evaluate 200 breast cancers' patients post chemotherapy, the data showed that 11% patients had a good quality of life, 66% in a immidiate and 23% others in a less QOL (Heydarnejad 2009). A research showed that breast cancer and colorectal cancer survivors had limited knowledge of their diagnosis and cancer treatment (Malak, 2009). A variety of interventions have been made to increase the QOL and knowledge of the breast cancers' patients, pne of the intervention that has been used is peer education. Peer education was rated as one of the effective ways to increase QOL and knowledge in breast cancer cases. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of peer education in improving quality of life and knowledge among breast cancers' patient.

2 METHODS

We identified articles through databases searching: Sage, Proquest, Science Direct and EbscoHost, published between (2006-2016). Search terms include "Peer education", "Breast Cancer", "Quality of Life", "Knowledge". We found ten articles that suitable with our Inclusion Criteria. Our inclusion criteria are all of the study of peer education for breast cancers' patient that given an impact on QOL and knowledge. We excluded the Articles if the target population focused on other than breast cancer.

280

Pefbrianti, D., Suprabawati, D. and Yunitasari, E. Is Peer Education an Effective Method on Breast Cancers' Patient?. DOI: 10.5220/0008323902800286 In Proceedings of the 9th International Nursing Conference (INC 2018), pages 280-286 ISBN: 978-989-758-336-0 Copyright © 2018 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

Ν	Study	Design	Sample	Variable	Instrument	Analysis	Result
0	Long-Term Effects of <i>Educational</i> and Peer Discussion Group Intervention s on Adjustment to Breast Cancer (Vicki S, sheldon cohen dan Richard Schul 2006)	Randomize d Controlled trial	Sample: 312 respondent s (education group (n=79), peer education group (n=74), kelompok education plus peer education group (n=82) and control group (n=77)	Independent Variables : Education & peer education Dependent Variables Quality of life	SF-36 Health survey	A Repeated- measures analysis of variance	The benefits of educational intervention are maintained over a 3-year period even though the effects disappear over time
2.	Exploring The Effects of Being A Peer Educator on African American Breast <i>Cancer</i> <i>survivors</i> (Melanie J, 2013)	Qualitative research design with grounded theory design	Sample: 35 respondent s (breast cancer patients) Sampling Purposive Sampling	Independent Variables: Peer education Dependent Variables 1. Healthy behaviour 2. Healthcare utiliation 3. QOL	 Demographi c questionare The Quality of Life of Adult Cancer survivors (QLACS) 	Statistik deskriptif, a grounded theory methodolog y	The results showed that all participants had a positive experience on the role of peer educator. It found positive influence of participants in quality of life, health behavior, utilization of health services
	The Effect of Peer-led Education on The Life Quality of Mastectomy Patients Referred to Breast Cancer- Clinics in Shira, Iran 2009 (Farkhonde	Pre post test with control design	Sample: 99 respondent s Sampling Convenien t sampling	Independent Variables: Peer-led education Dependent Variables QOL	 Quality of Life Question (QLQ-30) QLQ-BR23 	Chi square, t-test, and repeated measureme nt multivariate	In the intervention group, there was a significant increase in all aspects of quality of life and decreased symptoms (P <0.001). while the control group there was no

Table 1: Table mapping (PICO).

	h Char!f						cignificant
	h Sharif,						significant difference in
	Narjes						all aspects of
	abshorshori,						quality of life.
	Sedigheh						quality of file.
	Tahmasebi,						
	Maryam						
	Harati,						
	Najaf are,						
	sarah						
	Masoumi,						
	2010)						
	Belonging	Clinical	Sample:	Independent	SF 36	Chi Square	Providing
	to A Peer	Controlled	68	Variables:	questionaire	test, paired	support to
	Support	Trial	respondent	Peer support		t-test,	breast cancer
	Group		s	group		Independent	patients with
	Enhance			0		t-test,	explanatory
	The Quality		Sampling	Dependent		MANCOV	sessions in
	of Life and		Purposive	Variables		A and	peer group
	Adherence		sampling	1. QOL		Wilcoxon	support
	Rate in		Sumpting	2. Adherance		Signed	methods can
	Patients			ranoranee		Rank Test	improve their
	Affected by					ITALIK I CSI	quality of life
	Breast						(p <0.001).
	Breast Cancer: A						-
	Non-			/			
	Randomized			/		7	
	Controlled						
	Clinical						
	Trial						
	(Afsaneh						
	Melekpour,						
	iba		h TEC		GY PUP		
	Farajadegan	_ /		/			
	and Ahmad						
	Rea amani,						
	2011)						
	Peer-Based	А	Sample:	Independent	Questionaire	Descriptive	Survivors
	Models of	qualitative	62	Variables:		and	recognize that
	Supportive	research	respondent	Peer support		univariate	cultural-based
	Care: The	study	s	group		statistics	learning with
	Impact of	Study	~	9 r		5	peers comes
	Peer support			Dependent			from the
	Groups in			Variables			spiritual,
	African			1. Demographic			linguistic,
	American			s s			experiential
	Breast			2. Medical			and historical
	Breast Cancer			story			aspects. Peer-
				3. Support			based support
	Survivors			group impact			groups offer
	(kimlin			4. Adherance			many benefits
	ashing-						to emotional,
	giwa,						social,
	Carolyn						spiritual,
	Tapp,						information
	Monica						and cultural
	rosales et al.	1			1		
1	2012)						support.

	Group Support Intervention s for Women with Breast Cancer: Who Benefits From What? (Vicki S, Sheldon Cohen, Richard Schul, Joyce Yasko, 2006)	Randomize d Controlled trial	Sample: 230 respondent s	Independent Variables: 1. Peer Education 2. Peer discussion group Dependent Variables 1. Emotional support 2. Negative interaction 3. Support information about cancer 4. Self resources	SF-36 questionaire	Multiple- regression analysis	Educational groups show better results in terms of physical function, peer discussion groups are helpful to women who lack support from colleagues or psychologists
7.	The effect of breast cancer <i>educational</i> intervention on knowledge and health beliefs of woman 40 years and older, Isfahan, Iran (Mohsen Rezaeian, Gholamreza Sharifirad, Firoozeh Mostafavi et al, 2014)	Randomize d controlled trial	Sample: 290 respondent s (145 interventio n group and 145 control group) Sampling Simple random sampling	Independent Variables: Breast cancer educational intervention Dependent Variables 1. Knowledge 2. Health belief	Champion's health belief model scale (CHBMS)	Analisa deskriptif, chi-square, t-test, paired t-test	The average score of perceived vulnerability, perceived severity, perceived benefits, obstacles and benefits of mammograph y and Health motivation in the intervention group had significant comparison differences with the control group after educational intervention $(P \le 0.001)$.
8.	Effectivenes s of Peer Education for Breast Cancer Screening and Health Beliefs in Eastern Turkey (Sebahat Gozum,	Randomize d controlled trial	Sample: 5000 respondent s Sampling Simple random sampling	Independent Variables: Peer education Dependent Variables 1. Knowledge 2. Health belief 3. Practice	Champion's health belief model scale (CHBMS) & Cancer Early Diagnosid ans Screening Centers Data for Mammography Practice	t-test, paired t-test	Peer education is effective to increase knowledge, confidence and practice in breast cancer patients

		ſ	0		1		
	Ozgul						
	Karayurt,						
	Sultan Kav,						
	2010)						
9.	Effects of	Quasy	Sample:	Independent	Knowledge of	t-test	There was a
7.	Peer	experimenta	150	Variables:	breast cancer	1 1051	significant
	Education	l design	respondent	Peer education	and BSE form		increase in
		i design	-	Peer education			female
	on The		s		& Breast self		students'
	Knowledge			Dependent	examination		
	of Breast		Sampling	Variables	performance		knowledge of
	Cancer and		Simple	Knowledge	checklist		breast cancer
	Practice of		random				and BSE,
	Breast Self-		sampling				improved
	Examinatio		r B				practice in
	n Among						BSE (p =
	Mansoura						0.000)
	University						
	Female						
	Students						
	(Heba						
	Salama,						
	Nahed						
	Elsebai,						
	Fardos						
	Abdelfatah			/			
	et al, 2013)			/			
10	Effects of	Quasy	Sample:	Independent	Kuesioner for	Paired t test,	The level of
	Peer	experimenta	65	Variables:	the coopersmith	Mc Nemar	knowledge
$\cdot -$				Peer education.	self-esteem	test and	and practice
	education,	l design	respondent	,			of BSE
	Social		s	social support	inventory and	Pearson	increased in
	Support and			and self esteem	scale of		
S	Self Esteem	E AND	Sampling	HNOLO	perseivedsocial		peer support
	on breast		Simple	Dependent	support		intervention
	Self		random	Variables			(p <0.001)
	Examinatio		sampling	Knowledge			
	n		r c	Ũ			
	Performanc						
	e and						
	Knowledge						
	U						
	Level (Arzu						
	Tuna M,						
	Murat						
	Bektash,						
1	Ayshe San						
1	•						
	T et al,						

3 RESULTS

Articles reviewed include; 5 Randomized Controlled Trial, 2 Qualitative research, 2 Quasy Experiment, and 1 pre post with control design. According to the level of evidence, 10 articles are in 1-6 levels from 7 level of evidence, level 1 (5 articles), level 3 (3 articles) & level 6 (2 articles). Study reviewed deriving from 3 countries; USA (5 studies), Iran (4 studies), and Carolina (1 study). Spain (1 study).

Ten articles that have been collected, analyzed and scored, obtained the following results. Research conducted by (Helgeson, 2006) aimed to follow up the effects of 8 week support group intervention on the QOL of woman in early stage breast cancer. On the results obtained that the benefits of this intervention remained over a 3 year period (Helgeson, 2006). In the meantime research conducted by Boyd (2013) was to determine the effects of being a peer educator, the results of the research show that participants in the intervention group had a positive influence of their quality of life, health behaviours and healthcare utiliation.

A study found to evaluate the effect of peer-led education on the QOL of mastectomy patients, the research showed that statistically significant increasing in all aspects of QOL and decrease the symtom (p<0.001) (Sharif, 2010).

The study by Tehrani (2011) Aims to see the effect of peer support and educational program on QOL in breast cancer patients. The results showed that intervention group was significantly higher than that of the control group (p < 0.001). Research by Ashing-giwa (2012) aims to evaluate the effect of support group on African American breast cancer survivors. The result showed that the survivors underscored that cultural based groups are rooted in the linguistic , spiritual, experiential, and historical. The peer based support groups had many functions, such as social, emotional, spiritual, informational, and financial support.

Research conducted by Helgeson (2006) aimed to evaluate the impact of an educational group and peer discussion group on the physical and mental functioning of breast cancers' patients. The data showed that peer discussion groups were helpful for woman who lacked support from their partners or physicians (p<0.001).

A research intended to know the effect of breast cancer screening education using HBM on knowledge and health beliefs. The results of the research showed that a significant differences in comparison between the experimental group with the control group after intervention ($p \le 0.001$) (Rezaeian, 2014).

Research by (Gozum, 2010) aimed to evaluate the efficacy of peer education in breast cancer screening and health beliefs. The results of the study showed a significant increase of knowledge, beliefs and practice on breast cancers' patients (p < 0.001). Research by Salama (2013) aimed to evaluate the peer education for breast cancer patient's knowledge and practice of BSE. The data showed an increase in knowledge about their ill and practice of BSE (p=0.000).

Research of Nissen (2012) aims to know the difference efficacy of peer education, social support and self esteem on BSE and knowledge level. The data showed that there is a significant increase in knowledge level and BSE practice in peer support group (p<0.001).

4 DISCUSSION

The studies examined in this systematic review were about evaluation of the peer education on breast cancers' patient. Research by Heydarnejad (2009), 200 breast cancers' patients post evaluate chemotherapy, the data showed that 11% patients had a good quality of life, 66% in a immidiate and 23% others in a less QOL. A study showed that breast and colorectal cancer survivors had limited knowledge on the details of their diagnosis and cancer treatment (Malak, 2009). In this case the role of nurse is important in primary, secondary and tertiary prevention to avoid complication. At the level of primary care nurse can implement health education intervention, one of them is peer education.

Breast cancer patient need a forum that is responsive to their physical, psychosocial, spiritual and information (Coward, 2005). Therefore, peer education can be attributed to the recognition of the breast cancer need. The peer education group member underscored that the groups were roted in the essential experiential, linguistic, spiritual.

Peer support is important for the breast cancer patient during the treatment, postdiagnostic, emotional, and informational. Therefore, member felt they were in a comfort zone by being able to relate to other members with similar condition. A study identified that breast cancer support group members benefited from the therapeutic and were able to articulate their breast cancer journey by allowed an acceptance and strength in their goals. Therefore, their experience, support and practices are revealed in decreasing emotional and they have given permission to share their cancer journey (Ashida, 2008).

5 CONCLUSIONS

This study evaluated the peer education on Breast Cancers' Patient. The 10 studies reviewed, 5 indicates that the peer education effective to increase the QOL among breast cancers' patient. The five other studies showed an increase in the knowledge.

Majority of ten studies showed significant positive effects on quality of life and knowledge. The journals research by Melanie (2013), showed that the peer education had a positive influence of quality of life, health behaviours and healthcare utiliation. Future expected that RCT studies should continue to be done to find out the actual effect and to avoid bias.

REFERENCES

- A, Malak. 2009. "Effect of Peer Education, Social Support and Self Esteem on Breast Self Examination Performance and Knowledge Level." Asian Pacifik Journal of Cancer Prevention 10: 605–8.
- Ashida, S., & Heaney, C.A. 2008. "Differential associations of social support and social connectedness with structural features of social networks and the health status of older adults". *Journal of Aging and Health*, 20, 872–893. doi:10.1177/0898264308324626
- Ashing-giwa, Kimlin et al. 2012. "Peer-Based Models of Supportive Care: The Impact of Peer Support Groups in African American Breast Cancer Survivors." 39(6): 585–92.
- Boyd, A Suzanne et al. 2013. "EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF BEING A PEER EDUCATOR ON AFRICAN AMERICAN BREAST CANCER SURVIVORS by Melanie J. Rouse A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of The University of North Carolina at Charlotte in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Health Services Research Approved by:"
- Coward, D.D. 2005. "Lessons learned in developing a support intervention for African American women with breast cancer". *OncologyNursing Forum*, 32, 261–266. doi:10.1188/05.ONF.261-266
- Helgeson, Vicki S, Sheldon Cohen, and Joyce Yasko. 2006. "Group Support Interventions for Women With Breast Cancer: Who Benefits From What?" 19(2).
- Heydarnejad. 2009. "Factors Affecting Quality of Life in Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy." http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC31585 10/pdf/AFHS1102-0266.pdf>.
- M, Nissen. 2012. "Breast and Colorectal Cancer

Survivors' Knowlwdge about Their Diagnosis and Treatment." *Journal Cancer Survivor* 6: 20–32.

- Rezaeian, Mohsen et al. 2014. "The Effects of Breast Cancer Educational Intervention on Knowledge and Health Beliefs of Women 40 Years and Older ,." 3(April). https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9531.131929
- S, Gozum. 2010. "Effectiveness of Peer Education for Breast Cancer Screening and Health Beliefs in Eastern Turkey." *Cancer Nursing* 3(33): 213–20. https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.0b013e3181cb40a8.
- Salama. 2013. "Effect of Peer Education on The Knowledge of Breast Cancer and Practice of Breast Self Examination Among Mansoura University Female Students." *Journal of American Science* 9(10): 253–61.
- Sharif, Farkhondeh et al. 2010. "The Effect of Peer-Led Education on the Life Quality of Mastectomy Patients Referred to Breast Cancer-Clinics in Shiraz, Iran 2009.": 1–8.
- Stanton. 2005. "Outcomes From The Moving Beyond Cancer Psychoeducational." Journal Clinical Onncology 23(25): 6009–18.
- Tehrani, Afsaneh Malekpour., Farajzadegan, ziba., zamani, Ahmad Reza. 2011. "Belonging to A Peer Support Group Enhance The Quality of Life and Adherence Rate in Patients Affected by Breast Cancer: A Non Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial." *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences* 16(5): 658– 65.
- Yayasan Kanker Indonesia, Pusat Data Dan Informasi Kanker Payudara. 2015. Jakarta.
- Wood, G. L. & Haber, Judith. 2010. "Nursing Research Methods and Critical Appraisal for Evidence-Based Practice. 7th ed. Elseiver. China.