Cost Effective and Economic Management of Solid Waste in a City

Shipra Gupta, Vijay Kumar and Priyanka Gupta
Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun, India

Keywords: Cost-effective, Economic, Solid Waste, Garbage of a City, Management.

Abstract: The abstract describes a sustainable and economic approach to managing the solid waste of a city. The approach seeks to efficiently collect and dispose of waste while minimizing cost. Three key objectives are addressed to arrive at a financially and environmentally sound solution. The first is to implement strategies that can handle and process the waste loads. The second is to reduce the waste load to the maximum extent possible, and the third is to recover and convert energy from the waste. The primary components of the management system include advanced waste sorting technologies, the formation of a recycling infrastructure, and the conversion of waste to a renewable source of fuel. They allow waste collection routes to be optimized and modern waste disposal methods to be used that can significantly reduce cost and environmental impact. The success of the program is completely dependent upon the awareness and diligence of the population of the city. The abstract highlights the necessity of adopting a holistic and economically viable approach to the management of solid waste, which cannot be achieved without the direct cooperation of those most affected by the resolution of the problem, the citizens of the city.

1 INTRODUCTION

Solid waste management is a global issue that represents critical challenges for urbanized cities as urbanization and significant population growth automatically lead to an increase in volumes of solid waste. Efficient solid waste management is a crucial environmental responsibility, but also a significant economic challenge. The effort of minimizing the environmental footprint of cities, while at the same time, optimizing the utilization of resources, requires that cities maintain an economically viable technology for solid waste management.

The issues revolving around solid waste management are not just the increased costs of disposal, but also the environmental impact associated with landfills especially as cities are forced to achieve ever more stringent waste diversion targets. Consequently, cities must identify innovative, cost-effective, and operationally effective strategies to reduce disposal short-run challenges, while at the same time simultaneously moving systemic waste management infrastructures affordably and efficiently to sustainable goals. This introduction underscores the necessity of adopting an approach to solid waste management that is both sustainable and economically viable; and that allows for environmental responsibility within financial means that are borne ultimately through the general city populous.

Cities must walk a fine line between preserving public health, minimizing environmental degradation, and maintaining financial viability. In this context, comprehensive and economically viable solid waste management becomes imperative. This piece together with its subsequent pieces developed into numerous aspects of cost-effective solid waste management. Topics covered in later pieces include among others, advanced waste sorting technologies, recycling technologies, pros and cons of an increased focus on increased waste-to-energy solutions, and other crucial topics which, if adopted as part of broader waste-to-energy strategies required of technology-centred economic development, move cities towards a sustainable and financially viable solid waste management model.

The complexities associated with the realization of sustainable and financially viable solid waste management systems for urbanized cities today logically must embrace the ever-increasing need for technology and advanced forms of communications and community engagement—long gone are the days when disposal could be done for pennies, without any added concern from the public—therein lies the rub—

Gupta, S., Kumar, V. and Gupta, P.
Cost Effective and Economic Management of Solid Waste in a City.
DOI: 10.5220/0012870200003882

In *Proceedings of the 2nd Pamir Transboundary Conference for Sustainable Societies (PAMIR-2 2023)*, pages 452-456
ISBN: 978-989-758-723-8

it doesn't pay to go it alone. Importantly, the management strategy must reflect the balance described in the opening lines; one that demands environmentally sound and economically feasible solid waste management—at all costs. This means using every available communication, and advancements available, including strategic and business-like approaches, to engage and educate the public and businesses on the new ways to think about waste and the strategy to solve it affordably. Advanced and forward-looking city administrators, and there are undoubtedly many despite some cacophony to the contrary, will find that their solid waste streams not only pose urban planning challenges but also a significant opportunity for economic efficiency, environmental stewardship, and community well-being.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

To help city planners and waste management authorities determine the most cost-effective and economical management of solid waste of any city, a thorough review of existing literature on solid waste management practices was completed. Emphasis was placed on sources, that discussed cost-effectiveness and economic considerations, as this allowed for an analysis of various case studies of other cities or regions, which have implemented successful waste management strategies that were cost-effective and economically justified.

Materials and methods included:

Data Collection: Collect data on the current waste generation rates, waste composition, and the cost of current waste disposal within the city.

Additional data collected included the current solid waste management infrastructure, such as collection methods, transportation, and waste disposal facilities. Since a cost-benefit analysis was important, a complete cost-benefit analysis was conducted of the city's current waste management system, including the direct costs such as collection, transportation, and disposal, as well as indirect costs such as environmental impact and health issues. The cost associated with different waste management technologies/practices such as recycling, composting, and waste to energy was compared. A technological assessment was implemented by examining advanced waste sorting technology such as automated sorting systems, which would enhance recycling rates. Waste-to-energy practice was discussed and its potential for implementation within the city and its benefits also.

Cost-benefit analysis of the current waste management system shows that the current waste disposal method costs $44-86 per ton, while the other disposal methods cost $130 per ton for landfilling, $68 per ton for incineration and the waste to energy with electricity of 1.54 MWH per ton is $20 per ton.

The collaboration and partnerships included academic institutions, research organizations, and industry partners, who will collaborate, to share their expertise, resources, and innovative solution. Furthermore, such city planners and waste management authorities should find this 9-point guide a valuable guide for determining the most cost-effective and economically viable MSW management system, and lastly, for implementing the system in their unique urban location. By using all these materials and methods in its study, city planners and waste management authorities could gain the knowledge they needed to determine the most cost-effective, economically viable strategy for managing a city's MSW, and then using this knowledge, implement the new strategy in which would achieve economic efficiency along with environmental sustainability, which in total would contribute to the overall economic efficiency of the city and its residents.

3 RESULTS

The results of an economical and cost-efficient waste management plan in a city are multi-faceted and can vary based on the specific measures and technologies implemented. Potential outcomes and benefits to be achieved include:

Cost Savings: Compliance with all waste management regulations through the implementation of efficient waste collection routes, optimized transportation, and streamlined disposal will result in significant cost savings for the city.

Resource Recovery: Increased recycling rates and adoption of waste-to-energy technologies can increase the recovery of valuable resources from the waste stream. Recovering recyclables and diverting organics and biodegradable waste from landfills improves the potential for meeting a circular economy.

Resource recovery of materials through advanced sorting technologies will also increase the recovery and utilization of valuable resources.

Environmental Impact Reduction: Taxpayers want to live in a city where air quality, soil, and water are not

adversely impacted by waste disposal. Implementing cost-effective waste management will reduce greenhouse gases released from landfills to the air and reduce the city's overall carbon footprint. Utilizing intermediate processing facilities to process solid waste which will reduce the transportation of solid waste to distant disposal sites is another way to reduce the environmental impacts of waste disposal. Lastly, there is a decrease in the risk of water and soil pollution related to uncontrolled/unmanaged leaking landfills.

Public Health Improvement: The health and quality of life among community residents is of great concern, reducing improper/solid waste disposal will reduce the health risks associated with open dumping and un-serviced landfills, leading to improved living conditions.

Minimizing health risks will reduce the public's exposure to the potential release of hazardous substances through the implementation of safe and effective waste management practices.

Job Creation: Enhance the economic viability of the waste management sector and stimulate the development, construction, and implementation of groundbreaking solutions for the food waste management crisis including recycling facilities, Waste sorting centres, and waste-to-energy resources.

Community Engagement and Awareness: Increase public awareness and participation in the reduction and recycling programs and enable the public to make a more informed choice on the environmental footprint of the waste to energy and other waste management technologies. Increased morale and a strong belief in a sense of community that results from a better understanding of the environment and the effects of carelessness during the management and handling of solid waste can also be achieved through the promotion of education, outreach, and community engagement.

Adoption of User-Friendly Approach: Act instantaneously so that the public can contribute more actively in terms of recycling, waste reduction, and management and make sure that the goals of waste reduction are achieved properly.

Adoption of User-Friendly Approach: Exceed the expected compliance with local and national waste management regulations, to ensure the environmental standards in all aspects of waste management. Achieve in this regard would enable the city to gain revenue and recognition through the achievement of these programs. The program offers big possibilities for the city or municipal district to be eligible for a variety of grants and contracts with the Government to manage the waste management program.

Infrastructure Optimization: Upgrade and optimize the city's public works and waste management infrastructure through the integration of state-of-the-art technologies and equipment.

The increased efficiencies from new modern equipment and repair of sub-optimal equipment in waste disposal, transfer stations, and materials recovery facilities and the increased efficiency with recent technology upgrades with automated routing, tracking, customer notifications, and communications and the potential reduction in the number of trucks and equipment, all will increase the efficiency in the waste collection and transportation and processing facilities.

Long-Term Sustainability: The city will better be able to align the waste management and planning for the city with the long-term environmental and economic goals. The city could have an increase in recycling and or waste-to-energy capabilities developed and show the importance of waste as a resource and can in several ways make a less expensive energy and resource that are renewable. The city could decrease the unholy dependence on landfill space and reduce the long-term costs associated with that dependency. The city would be a better place to live and a more beautiful place. The city could better achieve its long-term environmental and economic goals with sustainable waste management one day.

Positive Image and Reputation: The city will be the star and celebrated for proactively adopting economically sound and environmentally friendly solid waste management practices. The city can act as the selling point to all businesses and residents offering an environmentally friendly, community and potentially attracting businesses looking for a community seeking an environmentally friendly business, or residences trying to locate their firm in an environmentally friendly community.

It is important to note that the results of an economical and cost-efficient waste management plan in a city are largely dependent on the unique characteristics of each city, including its size, population density, growth, waste composition, and existing infrastructure. Regular monitoring, evaluation, and adaptation of the waste management strategy will be essential to ensure ongoing success and continuous improvement.

4 DISCUSSION

Baseline Assessment: Begin discussion with a thorough understanding of the city's current waste management status. To know about the volume and

composition of the waste generated. Also, to know about the existing waste management practices, costs, and infrastructure, that are currently in place.
Action Steps: Conduct a detailed waste audit to capture information on waste generation, collection, transportation, and disposal. Break down current expenditures at each stage in the waste management process.
Technology Evaluation: Consider individual and combined waste management technologies that can improve the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of waste management. To know about cutting-edge sorting technologies, innovative recycling methods, and advanced waste-to-energy systems integrated into existing waste management infrastructure.
Action Steps: Engage in discussions with experts and technology vendors to identify and vet technologies that are feasible to adopt and can make good economic sense. Create a short list of potential pilot projects that could help test the technology in a local setting.
Economic Analysis: Find out the benefits of how the city can forward a comprehensive economic analysis of different waste management strategies, including the direct and indirect costs of each. To know about the methodology for comparing to the current practice's costs from the others.
Action Steps: Use one of several economic analysis tools for waste management to compare the financial implications of different waste management options. Take into account both short-term and long-term dynamics, including potential savings and revenue streams from resource recovery.
Public Engagement: Talk about the various ways that the city can promote public engagement in recycling and waste reduction. The city makes it easier for residents to participate in recycling programs or waste reduction initiatives. The role can public education and awareness play in shaping behaviours around waste receptacles?
Action Steps: Engage in community consultation, conduct surveys, and establish communication channels for the public to express its perceptions and concerns about waste. Create specialized educational and communication programs around the city's recycling and waste reduction efforts.
Regulatory Considerations: Examine the current regulatory framework surrounding waste management. The existing regulations intersect with the selection of waste management strategies. The regulations can be better aligned to help foster cost-effective and sustainable practices.
Action Steps: Work with regulatory bodies to explore where incentives may be added to flexibility within regulations to help implement cost-effective waste management solutions. Adhere to all rules and work to drive for a favourable regulatory environment.
Collaboration and Partnerships: Partnerships with external organizations -- including academia, the private sector, and NGOs -- improve the city's manage solid waste. The resource can be shared; knowledge sharing, and joint fundraising improve waste management.
Action Steps: Create new partnerships that leverage their expertise to conduct joint research and sharing of best practices as they relate to the implementation of cost-effective waste management.
·Monitoring and Continuous Improvement: Consider the importance of thorough monitoring and continuous improvement of waste management initiatives. The cities can determine performance metrics to gauge the success of implemented strategies. The corrective pathways exist to perfect such practices and continuously improve them.
Action Steps: Highline performance metrics that matter and continually track through robust monitoring and evaluation systems that can be set up for each key performance metric. Follow their data, flag the areas of challenge, and improve waste management over time. Through these conversations and actions, sustainable cost-effective waste management strategies can be further brought to market in cities. It may not be a seamless transition but with the right tools, infrastructure, and knowledge at their fingertips, solid waste management should be hassle-free and green.

5 CONCLUSION

In summary, cost-effective and economic solid waste management in an urban centre is a complex issue that can only be met through a strategic and collaborative approach. The discussions and actions leading to improved waste management practices not only help contribute to environmental sustainability but also economic prudence. An effective system will minimize the environmental impacts — pollution and greenhouse gas emissions — of solid waste, promoting environmental sustainability. Resource recovery, facilitated through recycling and waste-to-energy programs, supports circular economies to help urban areas move towards long-term sustainable conditions. Best practices in waste collection, transportation, and disposal methods also lower solid waste management operational costs for the city, while cost-effective technologies and methodologies save ongoing monies — all of which release

budgetary pressure for the city. Community participation in source separation and recycling programs also contributes to citizens' shared value of responsibility and a cleaner and healthier living environment, mitigating public health risks incurred by uncontrolled waste disposal to residents. MRFs, recycling and waste-to-energy systems that enable these savings are also evidence of a city committed to innovation and on the leading edge of technological breakthroughs. Ongoing technological advancements will deliver increasing savings to the city as operations improve with waste management best practice processes. City waste management practices that meet existing regulations ensure compliance and the minimization of legal risks. Advocating for enabling policies and incentives at local and national levels spurs the reduction of waste streams and the mitigation of waste management costs to the city. Partnerships with academic institutions and professionals from the waste management and recycling industries and other cities also provide a venue for the transfer of knowledge where best practices can be adopted and customized to meet the needs of each city. The leveraging of resources, expertise, and joint-funding opportunities make the waste management initiative a success. Regular monitoring, evaluation, and adapting waste management strategies have to be an ongoing practice to ensure an ever-changing array of challenges is being met and performance maximized. This commitment to ongoing improvement is what will keep the city resilient to changing waste management dynamics with time. To conclude, the pursuit of cost-effective and economic solid waste management is not only a practical necessity of cities in terms of the preservation of their economic and social health and vitality but a crucial step towards the creation of environmentally sustainable, resilient — and therefore — healthier city environments. This is the kind of future we would all very much wish to inhabit.

REFERENCES

Arroyo, F.D., Castro-Guerrero, C.F., Silva, U. Le., 2020. Thin films of cellulose acetate nanofibers from cigarette butt waste, Progress in Rubber, Plastics and Recycling Technology 36(1), 3–17.

Shafy, H.A., Mansour, M.S.M., 2018. Solid waste issue: sources, composition, disposal, recycling and valorization, Egyptian Journal of Petroleum 27(4), 1275–1290.

Gupta, S., Kumar, V., Patil, P., 2022. A study on recycling of waste solid garbage in a city, AIP conference Proceedings 2481(1), 1-8.

Gupta, S., Kumar, V., Patil, P., Kalra, J.S., Dhyani, A., 2021. Solid Waste Management in Metro cities, Ecology, Environment and Conservation 27(3), 1380-1389.

Čabalová, I., Kačík, F., Sivák, J., 2011. The changes of polymerization degree of softwood fibers by recycling and ageing process. Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen 53, 61-64.

Hubbe, M.A., Venditti, R.A., Rojas, O.J., 2007. What happens to cellulos is fibers during papermaking and recycling? A review. Bio Resources 2(4), 739-778.

Kumar, V., Manwal, M., Gupta, S., Patil, P., 2020. Contribution in environment and revenue generation by recycling of educational institution’s waste papers. Journal of Critical Review 7, 4084-4089.

Kumar, V., 2017. Recycling of waste and used papers: A Useful Contribution in Conservation of Environment: A Case Study. Asian Journal of Water, Environment & Pollution 14, 31- 36.

Kumar, V., Gupta, S., Kalra, J., Bhusan, B., 2019. Waste Paper Management. International Journal of advance engineering & technology 8, 96-100.

Debora, H., Budi, W., 2019. Effect of Re-Using Vernalization Bulbs on Growth and Development of Lilies, Journal of Agricultural Sciences 14(2), 92-101.