A Critical Analysis of Software Education in India for Undergraduate Students

Deepak Gupta[1], Ajay Suryavanshi[2] and Deepak Singh Rana[3]
[1]*Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun, India*
[2]*Bundelkhand Institute of Engineering and Technology, Jhansi, India*
[3]*Graphic Era Deemed to be University, Dehradun, India*

Keywords: Global Technology, Information Technology, Skill Gap, SE Industry, Software Engineering Education.

Abstract: In today's digital landscape, multinational corporations play a central role in shaping contemporary software development, which has emerged as a pivotal sector in the global economy. Traditionally dominated by European and American firms, the software development industry has witnessed India's rapid ascent, fuelled by the information technology revolution. However, despite the growing demand for skilled software professionals, there exists a significant disparity between the skill set acquired by graduates of Software Engineering Education (SEE) programmes in India and the requirements of the industry. This paper sheds light on the current state of undergraduate software engineering education in Indian universities and the critical issues contributing to the widening skill gap. Addressing these challenges is imperative to bridge the divide and ensure the alignment of SEE with the evolving needs of the software industry.

1 INTRODUCTION

Since its formal recognition as a discipline at the NATO conference in 1986, Software Engineering (SE) has witnessed significant advancement, evolving into a recognised profession and flourishing industry. In India, where the software industry is burgeoning, there is a pressing demand for skilled professionals. However, despite this growth, Software Engineering Education (SEE) struggles to meet the industry's requirements. A McKinsey Global Institute study highlights that while India annually produces a substantial number of engineering graduates, only 25 percent possess employable skills. Multinational corporations frequently encounter deficiencies in technical competencies, English fluency, and teamwork abilities among Indian engineering graduates. The overall decline in educational quality, excluding top universities, exacerbates this issue. Consequently, the computing industry in India expresses dissatisfaction with the standards of graduates emerging from colleges and universities. Addressing these challenges is crucial to bridge the gap between industry demands and educational provisions in the field of software engineering.

2 FINDINGS & ANALYSIS

In recent years, the proliferation of engineering colleges in India has mirrored the rapid growth of wild mushrooms. The numbers soared from approximately 1,500 colleges in the 2006-2007 academic year to a staggering 3,300 by 2014-2015, with lakhs of colleges currently in operation. However, despite this exponential growth, the quality of education has been steadily declining. Software Engineering (SE) training at the undergraduate level has been reduced to just one or two courses throughout the entire four-year engineering programme, alongside similar limitations in MCA and other three-year computing programmes. The lack of practical relevance in teaching, combined with an emphasis on rote learning and theoretical expertise over practical skills, exacerbates this issue.

The existing SE curriculum suffers from numerous drawbacks, including a failure to incorporate core Knowledge Areas (KAs) and a lack of standardisation. Treating the computing discipline as a whole at the undergraduate level fails to adequately address the diverse specialisations within SE, hindering graduates' abilities to meet industry demands. Furthermore, there is a glaring absence of guidelines for non-classroom activities and project work, limiting students' exposure to real-world

Gupta, D., Suryavanshi, A. and Rana, D.
A Critical Analysis of Software Education in India for Undergraduate Students.
DOI: 10.5220/0012871200003882

In *Proceedings of the 2nd Pamir Transboundary Conference for Sustainable Societies (PAMIR-2 2023)*, pages 483-485
ISBN: 978-989-758-723-8

scenarios and collaborative learning opportunities. Additionally, the lack of certification for SE professionals exacerbates the variations in graduates' competencies across institutions.

The consequences of these shortcomings are far-reaching. The industry's demand for skilled professionals is not being met, leading to a widening gap between industry requirements and graduates' skill sets. The disconnect between theoretical knowledge and practical application hampers graduates' ability to transition seamlessly into the workforce, hindering their professional growth and the overall progress of the SE industry in India.

One of the fundamental issues lies in the instructor's inability to bridge the gap between theory and practice. Many teaching personnel lack practical experience in industrial settings, despite their theoretical expertise in their respective subject domains. In India, the education system prioritises marks over practical learning, leading students to memorise information without gaining a deeper understanding or practical skills. As a result, graduates enter the workforce ill-prepared, lacking the necessary skills and competencies to excel in the field of SE.

The lack of an organising principle further compounds these issues. An organising principle provides focus and specialisation within the curriculum, enabling graduates to develop expertise in specific areas of the discipline. However, the absence of such a principle leaves graduates without specialised skills, limiting their career prospects and research opportunities within the SE industry. Additionally, the failure to instil a culture of innovation within the curriculum stifles students' creativity and entrepreneurial spirit, hindering the industry's ability to adapt and innovate in a rapidly evolving technological landscape.

Furthermore, the existing SE curriculum lacks standardisation and coordination at the national level, leading to variations in the quality and content of education across institutions. Without a common policy and priority, the emphasis on SE varies widely among institutions, resulting in disparities in graduates' abilities and exposure to industry-relevant skills. This fragmentation inhibits the development of a cohesive and internationally competitive SE workforce in India.

To address these challenges, comprehensive reforms are needed at both the institutional and national levels. A specialised SE undergraduate programme, focusing on core and specialised KAs, should be introduced to ensure that graduates are equipped with the necessary skills and competencies to meet industry demands. Standardisation of the curriculum and certification of SE professionals are also imperative to ensure consistency and quality across institutions. Additionally, guidelines for non-classroom activities and project work should be established to provide students with practical experience and industry exposure. By prioritising practical learning, fostering innovation, and promoting collaboration between academia and industry, India can develop a skilled and competitive workforce capable of driving the growth and innovation of the SE industry in the digital age.

3 RECOMMENDATIONS

The future of engineering graduates in India appears grim, attributed to the subpar education quality in private colleges and the stagnant or declining demand for engineers. To address this pressing issue, several serious measures must be undertaken:

- Introduce separate Software Engineering (SE) undergraduate programmes to prepare graduates as new-generation software engineers and SE entrepreneurs.
- Develop a unified SE curriculum for universities and colleges across India to ensure consistency and quality in education.
- Base the SE curriculum design on desired outcomes, focusing on equipping graduates with practical skills and industry-relevant knowledge.
- Strike a balance between covering essential content and fostering innovation by providing flexibility within the curriculum.
- Ground the curriculum in real-world applications to enhance its relevance and applicability in professional settings.
- Regularly review and update courses and curriculum to keep pace with evolving industry trends and technological advancements.
- Integrate business and entrepreneurial aspects into the curriculum to equip graduates with the skills needed to thrive in the competitive SE industry.

By implementing these measures, India can revitalise its engineering education system, better aligning it with industry demands and equipping graduates with the skills and knowledge needed to succeed in the evolving job market.

4 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a robust curriculum serves as the cornerstone of academic excellence, particularly in dynamic fields like Software Engineering (SE). Bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and industry practices is imperative to prepare graduates for success in the SE industry. The recommendations outlined for curriculum design provide valuable insights for reshaping existing computing programmes into specialised undergraduate SE programmes. By aligning learning outcomes with industry demands, integrating real-world applications, and fostering innovation and entrepreneurship, universities can equip graduates with the skills and competencies needed to thrive in the ever-changing landscape of SE. Embracing these recommendations will not only enhance the quality of SE education but also empower graduates to make meaningful contributions to the industry, driving innovation and growth in the digital age.

REFERENCES

Chhabra, G., Onyema, E., Kumar, S., Goutham, M., Mandapati, S., & Iwendi, C. (2022). Human Emotions Recognition, Analysis and Transformation by the Bioenergy Field in Smart Grid Using Image Processing. *Electronics, 11*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11234059

Clement, A., & Murugavel, T. (2015). English for Employability: A Case Study of the English Language Training Need Analysis for Engineering Students in India. *English Language Teaching, 8.* https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v8n2p116

Denning, P., Comer, D., Gries, D., Mulder, M., Tucker, A., Turner, J., & Young, P. (1989). Computing as a discipline. *Computer, 22,* 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1109/2.19833

Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M. A., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., … Wright, R. (2023). Opinion Paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 71,* 102642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642

Fernandes, R., Arora, A., Heinz, H., & Asundi, J. (2000). Supply and demand for software developers in India.

Gupta, D., Chaudhary, A. K., Singh, V. K., Verma, D., Goh, K. L., & Sharma, M. (2023). Thermo-mechanical analysis of bhimal fiber (Grewia optiva)-CaCO3/flyash/TiO2 reinforced epoxy bio-composites. *Industrial Crops and Products, 204,* 117341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.117341

Hanna, S., Jaber, H., Jaber, F., Shalaby, T., & Almasalmeh, A. (2014). Enhancing the software engineering curriculums: A case study of the Jordanian Universities. In 2014 IEEE 27th Conference on Software Engineering Education and Training, CSEE and T 2014 - Proceedings. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSEET.2014.6816785

Indias-labour-market-a-new-emphasis-on-gainful-employment. (2017). *Mckinsey Global Institute.* https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/featured insights/employment and growth/a new emphasis on gainful employment in india/indias-labour-market-a-new-emphasis-on-gainful-employment.pdf

Magana, A., Michael, F., & Michael, R. (2013). Introducing Discipline-Based Computing in Undergraduate Engineering Education. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education, 13.* https://doi.org/10.1145/2534971

Sapra, V., Sapra, L., Bansal, Y., Chhabra, G., & Tanwar, R. (2022). Machine Learning Approach for Identifying Survival of Bone Marrow Transplant Patients (pp. 31–40). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0284-0_3