Strategic Assessment for Higher Education Excellence

Veena Dutta
Doon Business School, Dehradun, India

Keywords: Higher Education, Quality Metrics, Performance Evaluation, Educational Outcomes, Faculty-Student Ratio.

Abstract: This study investigates comprehensive quality metrics for assessing Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), focusing on performance and educational outcomes. Employing a quantitative research design, data trends in quality metrics including faculty-student ratio (FSR), research and publication metrics, and student perceptions of technology in education are analysed. The National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) criteria provide a framework for evaluation. Results reveal a positive trajectory in FSR improvement, indicating efforts to enhance the teaching environment. Research publications demonstrate exponential growth, indicative of a thriving research landscape. Student feedback on technology underscores satisfaction, particularly with interactive exercises and machine learning-powered assistants, enhancing engagement and overall contentment. This research highlights the significance of holistic evaluation metrics for HEIs, offering insights crucial for continual enhancement and policy formulation. The findings advocate for a multifaceted approach to evaluating HEIs, recognising the interconnectedness of teaching quality, research output, and technological integration.

1 INTRODUCTION

Higher education institutions play a vital role in shaping the intellectual and professional development of individuals, thereby contributing significantly to societal progress (Noaman, A. Y., et al., 2017). Maintaining the quality of education provided by these institutions is crucial for nurturing a knowledgeable and skilled workforce. Effective quality metrics are essential for this purpose. This research focuses on three key dimensions: faculty-student ratio, research and publication metrics, and the evolving role of technology as perceived by students. These dimensions collectively influence the educational landscape.

The faculty-student ratio is a foundational metric that offers insights into the balance between academic staff and enrolled students. This ratio is pivotal in determining the level of personalized attention and mentorship students receive, directly impacting their learning experience (Koc, N., & Celik, B., 2015). Institutions striving to foster an environment conducive to intellectual growth must understand the nuances of faculty-student interactions. A favourable ratio can lead to more meaningful engagement and support for students, while an imbalance may result in diminished academic support and opportunities for mentorship.

Another important dimension of examination revolves around research and publication metrics, acknowledging the significant role of scholarly activities in shaping an institution's academic reputation (University Grants Commission, 2019). Beyond numerical indicators, the depth and impact of research, as well as the frequency and quality of publications, provide valuable insights into an institution's commitment to advancing knowledge. In an era marked by a relentless pursuit of innovation, an institution's research landscape reflects its intellectual vibrancy and contributions to the broader academic community. Effective quality metrics in this realm can help institutions gauge their research productivity and impact accurately.

2 OBJECTIVE

- Examine and analyze a range of comprehensive quality metrics utilized in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) for evaluation purposes.
- Assess the overall performance and educational outcomes of HEIs based on the

Dutta, V.
Strategic Assessment for Higher Education Excellence.
DOI: 10.5220/0012907800003882

In *Proceedings of the 2nd Pamir Transboundary Conference for Sustainable Societies (PAMIR-2 2023)*, pages 618-622
ISBN: 978-989-758-723-8

identified quality metrics to provide insights into their effectiveness and areas for improvement.

3 LITERATURE REVIEW

Table 1: Insights into HE Dynamics: TEF, Engagement, and Sustainability.

Author	Findings
Gunn, A. (2018)	- Analyzes the Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF) in UK HE, its methodologies, and outcomes. - Discusses TEF's dual agendas: performance quantification and student consumer positioning. - Concludes on TEF's multi-purpose nature driven by teaching quality and market dynamics.
Mandernach, B. J. (2015)	- Synthesizes literature on student engagement in HE, emphasizing its significance and dynamic nature. - Advocates for tailored assessment methods for engagement. - Discusses tools for evaluating student engagement.
Findler, F., et al. (2018)	- Investigates sustainability assessment tools (SATs) in HEIs, noting their effectiveness internally but shortcomings in measuring external impacts. - Identifies a gap in current sustainability assessment tools, suggesting the need for updates or new developments.

4 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a quantitative research design to explore the intricate realm of quality metrics within higher education (HE). Specifically, it aims to scrutinize key aspects such as faculty-student ratios, research metrics, and student attitudes towards technology in education. Secondary data from reputable sources including McKinsey and the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) is meticulously analysed. A selective approach is adopted to handpick relevant reports and datasets for thorough examination. Through rigorous quantitative analysis, this research seeks to unearth meaningful patterns and insights that illuminate the performance and educational outcomes of HE institutions. By shedding light on the development and implementation of comprehensive quality metrics, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of the intricacies and impact of such metrics within the dynamic landscape of higher education.

5 ANALYSIS

Numerous reports and ranking data have been analysed to scrutinise comprehensive quality metrics for assessing Higher Education (HE) and appraising the overall performance and educational outcomes of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). The outlined sub-criteria outlined by the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) hold significant importance in this investigation.

5.1 Comprehensive Quality Metrics

The sub-criteria encompass an extensive array of factors, embracing student enrollment, faculty-to-student ratio, faculty credentials and tenure, financial resources, research output, patent portfolio, project involvement, graduation rates, diversity, and both peer and public perception. These metrics are designed to furnish a comprehensive evaluation of an institution's efficacy, contemplating numerous facets that shape the standard of education and research. By scrutinizing these multifaceted dimensions, a nuanced understanding of an institution's performance can be attained, encapsulating its capacity to deliver high-quality education and contribute meaningfully to the academic and research landscape.

5.2 Evaluation of Overall Performance

The sub-criteria play a vital role in evaluating an institution's overall performance comprehensively. Key elements such as teaching and learning resources, research output, and outreach initiatives are indicative of the institution's dedication to academic excellence. Furthermore, graduation outcomes, encompassing placement and entrepreneurship metrics, serve as benchmarks for assessing how effectively the institution equips students for the professional realm. Additionally, inclusivity measures, such as student population diversity and support for economically and socially disadvantaged students, are integral in providing a holistic evaluation of the institution's impact on a varied student demographic.

5.3 Peer and Public Perception

The incorporation of peer and public perception metrics acknowledges the significant role external stakeholders play in shaping an institution's standing. This perception mirrors the institution's reputation and sway within academic and professional circles. Such qualitative metrics enrich a comprehensive evaluation framework, transcending mere academic feats to gauge the holistic impact of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) on students, research, and society overall. This multifaceted approach ensures a nuanced comprehension of an institution's strengths and identifies areas necessitating improvement, thus fostering continuous enhancement in the educational landscape.

6 TEACHING AND LEARNING QUALITY METRICS

6.1 Faculty-Student Ratio

The Faculty-Student Ratio (FSR) serves as an objective gauge due to its ability to offer a quantifiable comparison between the number of faculty members and students within educational institutions. A lower FSR is often perceived as advantageous, as it typically correlates with smaller class sizes. Such smaller classes tend to foster enhanced class participation and foster improved communication between educators and students. Consequently, a lower FSR tends to signify a more conducive environment for effective teaching and learning. Analysis conducted on FSR trends across engineering institutions spanning from 2017 to 2020 reveals a promising development. Notably, there has been a discernible enhancement in FSR, particularly within the 11 to 40 range. This improvement is underscored by a growing number of institutions falling within these FSR brackets, indicating a concerted effort towards achieving a more desirable and acceptable FSR. This trend reflects a commitment within the academic community towards optimising educational environments, thus potentially enhancing the quality of education provided within engineering institutions.

6.2 Research and Publications Assessment

The data pertaining to publications holds pivotal importance in the scrutiny of comprehensive quality metrics and the assessment of overall performance within higher education establishments. The quantification of publications, alongside the ratio of publications per faculty member, stands out as principal indicators of output, widely acknowledged by both national and international ranking systems. The notable exponential surge in cumulative publications spanning various subject domains over the span of four years denotes a favourable upswing in research endeavours, substantially contributing to the holistic evaluation of these institutions. Furthermore, the examination of publications emanating from the top 100 institutions in contrast to the remainder unveils a dynamic landscape, characterised by a diminishing proportion of contributions from the top 100 and a burgeoning share from other institutions. Such a trajectory intimates towards a more inclusive and diverse milieu for research pursuits. In essence, the data concerning publications furnishes invaluable insights into the research productivity of higher education institutions, aligning seamlessly with the overarching objectives of assessing comprehensive quality metrics and the overall institutional performance.

6.3 Technology and Infrastructure

The data suggests that students are actively seeking educational tools that combine entertainment with efficiency. Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, a majority of students (over 60 percent) have expressed that the learning technologies employed in classrooms have positively impacted their academic performance. This indicates a general satisfaction with the integration of technology into their educational journey, particularly given the increased reliance on remote learning. Notably, among the plethora of technologies in use, two have emerged as particularly influential in enhancing academic outcomes. Firstly, 80 percent of students highlighted the significance of classroom exercises. This underscores the role of interactive and engaging activities, whether conducted virtually or in a physical classroom, in improving their understanding and retention of course materials. Secondly, 71 percent of students identified machine learning–powered teaching assistants as valuable tools. These assistants likely utilize artificial intelligence algorithms to offer personalized and adaptive support to students, including answering queries, providing additional explanations, and tailoring the learning experience to individual needs. The integration of engaging classroom exercises and machine learning–powered teaching assistants aligns with several key

quality indicators for Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), including improved engagement, personalized learning experiences, enhanced academic performance, adaptability to changing circumstances, and overall student satisfaction. These factors contribute to a positive perception of the institution's quality and effectiveness in delivering education.

According to data from a McKinsey survey conducted in November 2021, which included responses from 634 faculty members and 818 students across various types of educational institutions, students exhibit enthusiasm about integrating learning technologies into their educational experiences for several reasons. The primary factors contributing to their excitement vary across three specific technologies: Classroom Interactions, Classroom Exercises, and Augmented Reality/Virtual Reality. For Classroom Interactions, 35% of students are excited about personalized learning, followed by 32% emphasizing access to resources and instructors. In the context of Classroom Exercises, 32% express excitement for personalized learning, while Augmented Reality/Virtual Reality sees an overwhelming 88% of students anticipating the benefits of personalized learning. Across all technologies, there is a consistent interest in gaining access to resources and instructors. Additionally, students are intrigued by the potential to improve their learning abilities and content mastery, demonstrating a desire for efficiency in the learning process.

7 CONCLUSION

This study aimed to explore comprehensive quality metrics for evaluating Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) and to assess their overall performance and educational outcomes. Utilising a quantitative research design, the study employed secondary data from McKinsey and NIRF to scrutinise key aspects such as faculty-student ratio, research and publications, and technology and infrastructure. Analysis of Faculty-Student Ratio (FSR) trends revealed an improvement over the years, particularly in the 11 to 40 range, indicating efforts to foster a more conducive environment for effective teaching. Assessing research and publications as pivotal indicators of institutional quality showcased an exponential increase in cumulative publications across various subject domains, mirroring a positive trend in research activity.

The exploration of technology and infrastructure from the standpoint of students unveiled a general satisfaction with the integration of educational technologies, especially amidst the backdrop of the COVID-19 pandemic. Notably, interactive classroom exercises and machine learning-powered teaching assistants emerged as impactful tools, aligning with key quality indicators such as enhanced engagement, personalised learning experiences, and overall student satisfaction. Students expressed enthusiasm towards learning technology, particularly for personalised learning, exemplified by Augmented Reality/Virtual Reality, and for improved access to resources. Efficiency in learning emerged as a prevailing theme, with less emphasis on entertainment. Overall, students perceived learning technology as a means to customise and enrich their educational experiences.

The discussion underscored the significance of the sub-criteria provided by NIRF in evaluating comprehensive quality metrics, overall performance, and educational outcomes. These metrics encompass a broad spectrum of factors, including faculty qualifications, research output, graduation outcomes, and peer/public perception, thus contributing to a holistic evaluation framework. The study emphasises the importance of adopting a multifaceted approach to comprehensively evaluate HEIs. By considering various dimensions such as teaching, research, technology integration, and stakeholder perceptions, the investigation provides valuable insights into the strengths and areas for improvement of these institutions. This holistic understanding is vital for fostering continuous improvement and upholding high standards in Higher Education. The findings contribute to the ongoing discourse on quality assessment in Higher Education and lay the groundwork for further research and policy development in this domain.

REFERENCES

Findler, F., Schönherr, N., Lozano, R., & Stacherl, B. (2018). Assessing the impacts of higher education institutions on sustainable development—an analysis of tools and indicators. Sustainability, 11(1), 59.

Gunn, A. (2018). Metrics and methodologies for measuring teaching quality in higher education: developing the Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF). Educational Review, 70(2), 129-148.

Mandernach, B. J. (2015). Assessment of student engagement in higher education: A synthesis of literature and assessment tools. International Journal of

Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 12(2), 1-14.

Nassa, A. K., Arora, J., Singh, P., Joorel, J. P., Trivedi, K., Solanki, H., & Kumar, A. (2021). Five Years of India Rankings (NIRF) and its Impact on Performance Parameters of Engineering Institutions in India. Pt. 2. Research and Professional Practices. DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 41(2).

Noaman, A. Y., Ragab, A. H. M., Madbouly, A. I., Khedra, A. M., & Fayoumi, A. G. (2017). Higher education quality assessment model: towards achieving educational quality standard. Studies in higher education, 42(1), 23-46.

Koc, N., & Celik, B. (2015). The impact of number of students per teacher on student achievement. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 177, 65-70.

Akhtar, J., Patel, G., & Khan, N. (2013). Technology Adoption in Management Classroom Learning. International Journal of Management & Information Technology, 7(3), 1110-1124.

University Grants Commission. (2019). Improving the quality of research by faculty and creation of new knowledge and strategies for improving research culture in colleges/universities. Retrieved March, 31, 2020.