Forecasting the Future Development of ABCtronics: A Comprehensive Analysis

Guoer Cao

Faculty Office of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, China

- Keywords: Market Demand Estimation, Sales Forecasting, Analysis of Quality Control, Downtime Distribution of Equipment, Statistical Analysis of Customer Satisfaction.
- Abstract: This paper presents an in-depth case study analysis of ABCtronics, a player in the semiconductor industry, focusing on the complexities of manufacturing processes, quality control mechanisms, and strategic decisions necessary for maintaining competitiveness and meeting customer expectations. Key aspects of the study include differing perspectives on downtime distribution of ion implanters, a comparative analysis of quality control methods (specifically, batch acceptance testing and single chip testing), statistical analysis of customer satisfaction, and market demand estimation and sales forecasting. Applying statistical methods, the paper reveals disagreements between Mark and Stuart on equipment downtime distribution and suggests equipment replacement to enhance efficiency. Furthermore, the paper evaluates the effectiveness of quality control methods, highlighting the greater rigor of single chip testing in detecting defects, making it the preferred quality control approach. Additionally, the study involves an analysis of customer perception of products, and discusses the feasibility of achieving sales targets. Overall, this research provides insightful understanding into the challenges faced by companies in the semiconductor industry and underscores the importance of remaining competitive in a dynamic market environment.

1 INTRODUCTION

Cao, G.

ABCtronics is a semiconductor manufacturing company established in 1997. Initially a small-scale operation, it has grown into a medium-scale enterprise. The company specializes in various wafer product lines, including mixed-signal integrated circuits, and analog, and high-voltage circuit boards. A significant portion of ABCtronics' business relies on one major client, XYZsoft. The semiconductor manufacturing industry, where ABCtronics operates, is characterized by highly cyclical demand patterns. The importance of studying ABCtronics lies in its approach to quality control and the challenges it faces in a competitive and dynamic market. The company has adopted statistical methods for quality control, implementing a Lot Acceptance Testing Method (LATM) to check the quality of its IC chips. ABCtronics is considering shifting to a new technology, "defect-free manufacturing," which could reduce the probability of producing a defective chip. Additionally, there is a proposal to change the current quality control policy from LATM to an

Individual Chip Testing Method (ICTM), which is expected to enhance quality assurance and maintain a strong relationship with its biggest customer, XYZsoft. The case of ABCtronics presents an opportunity to understand the complexities of manufacturing processes, quality control mechanisms, and the strategic decisions that companies in the semiconductor industry must make to remain competitive and meet customer expectations. This understanding is crucial in analyzing the company's potential to overcome its challenges, such as cyclical market demands and the need for technological advancements in quality control.

2 SUPPORTING ANALYSIS

In the quantitative analysis of the case pertaining to ABCtronics, a significant divergence is observed between the assessments of Mark and Stuart regarding the downtime distribution of the ion implanter. To elucidate this discrepancy, a rigorous

Forecasting the Future Development of ABCtronics: A Comprehensive Analysis. DOI: 10.5220/0012850300004547 Paper published under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Data Science and Engineering (ICDSE 2024)*, pages 577-581 ISBN: 978-989-758-690-3 Proceedings Copyright © 2024 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda.

computation of the expected value, variance, and probability for each proposed distribution is undertaken (Box et al 2005). These statistical measures are employed to distinctly delineate the disparities between the two distribution models, thereby facilitating a more comprehensive understanding of the distribution characteristics. Furthermore, the inefficiency and suboptimal performance of the current ion implanter necessitate the consideration of its replacement. This recommendation is posited following an in-depth evaluation of the implanter's operational parameters and its impact on overall productivity. Additionally, the manuscript critically examines the proposition put forth by Mark regarding the implementation of a 30% threshold to regulate the fabrication process. The beta distribution function (BETA.DIST) is utilized to assess the feasibility of this threshold, subsequently substantiating the efficacy of Mark's suggestion in enhancing process control. In the domain of quality control methodologies, a comparative analysis is conducted between the Lot Acceptance Testing Method (LATM) and the Individual Chip Testing Method (ICTM) (Montgomery 2013). While the LATM is characterized by a higher acceptance rate, it is posited that this may lead to excessive leniency, increasing the risk of accepting defective chips. In contrast, the ICTM, which entails a meticulous examination of each chip, demonstrates a lower probability, acceptance thereby significantly reducing the likelihood of defective chip approval. This analysis underscores the ICTM's enhanced stringency and its effectiveness in quality assurance. The study further explores customer perception analysis, revealing through probability magnitude examination that the majority of customers categorize the product as "satisfactory" rather than "good." Employing a sample size of 40, the study calculates the sample mean, standard deviation, and the relevant t-value to derive a confidence interval. The determination of the minimum sample size incorporates the use of coefficients and margin of error, often resulting in a non-integer value. To address this, the adoption of the nearest higher integer as the sample size is recommended. Moreover, an intern proposes the adoption of a multiple regression equation as a strategy to mitigate multicollinearity. A detailed analysis of specific data sets is conducted, evaluating the regression model's efficacy through Rsquared values and significance testing. complemented by Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) calculations to detect multicollinearity. In the context of sales projections, the case study presents average sales figures and probabilities across various demand

scenarios. The expected sales figures are derived by multiplying the predicted probabilities of sales volume in each scenario with their corresponding average sales figures. An analysis of the probabilities in the market demand estimate table is undertaken to estimate the probability of achieving the target sales volume of 3 million chips.

3 DOWNTIME AND CHEMICAL IMPURITY PROBLEM

In the present academic study, we rigorously examine the differing perspectives of Mark and Stuart, employees at ABCtronics, regarding the downtime analysis of the ion implanter. Stuart, the president of the fabrication plant, posits that the downtime and related activities adhere to a uniform distribution, denoted as X~U(a,b) (Ross 2014). Conversely, Mark, the leader of the Quality and Reliability Team (QRT), contends that the ion implanter's downtime exhibits a gamma distribution pattern (Ross 2014). Our objective is to elucidate the mechanics of each distribution model and discern the underlying reasons for their divergent viewpoints. To initiate this analysis, we constructed an Excel spreadsheet based on Table 1 from the case study, titled "Data on downtime of ion implantation." This spreadsheet facilitates the conversion of downtime data from hours and minutes into a uniform hourly metric, enabling the computation of the mean downtime (6.01944 hours) and variance (2.76823). Assuming a uniform distribution of this data, we calculate the distribution's parameters. Within a uniform distribution, the expected value of a random variable x is determined by

E(X)=(b+a)/2and its variance by

$$Var(X) = (b-a)^2/12$$
 (2)

(1)

By inputting the calculated mean and variance into these formulas, we resolve the values of 'a' and 'b', leading to a more detailed understanding of the probability density function. Subsequently, we calculate the probability of the downtime being within the range of 0 to 5 hours, as indicated by the integral of the probability density function over this interval. This computation reveals a significant probability, suggesting a substantial likelihood of the downtime falling within the desired range under a uniform distribution model. Alternatively, we hypothesize that the data follows a gamma distribution, applying the mean and variance to derive the parameters theta and k. Utilizing Excel's GAMMA.DIST function, we ascertain the probability of achieving a downtime of 5 hours or less under this model (Ross 2014). The differing probabilities yielded by the uniform and gamma distributions underscore the basis for the disagreement between Mark and Stuart. Given the prolonged downtime and its detrimental impact on production efficiency, we advocate for the replacement of the ion implanter. Our analysis demonstrates a considerable probability of achieving a reduced downtime under the uniform distribution, thereby supporting the recommendation for equipment change to enhance operational efficiency. Additionally, we examine Mark's proposal regarding the use of impurity levels in the fabrication process. By employing the beta distribution function in Excel, we assess the appropriateness of a 30% impurity threshold (Evans et al 2000). The resultant low probability density function value at this threshold, coupled with the observed trend of a sharp increase in impurities beyond 30%, corroborates Mark's analysis. Thus, setting a 30% impurity cutoff emerges as a judicious decision to mitigate the influx of impurities in the fabrication process.

4 COMPARISON OF QUALITY CONTROL METHODS

In this comprehensive academic study, we rigorously investigate the quality control processes utilized by ABCtronics in the production of Integrated Circuit (IC) chips. Our focus is primarily on the assessment and comparison of two distinct quality control testing methods: the Lot Acceptance Testing Method (LATM) and the Individual Chip Testing Method (ICTM) (Pyzdek and Keller 2018). These methods are pivotal in ensuring the reliability and performance standards of IC chips, which are critical components in various electronic devices. The LATM, a prevalent method in the semiconductor industry, involves a statistical sampling process where a random sample of 25 IC chips is selected from a larger batch of 500 chips, without the option of replacement. The entire lot's acceptance is contingent upon the condition that the sample contains fewer than two defective chips. If the sample reveals two or more defective chips, the lot is deemed unacceptable and is subsequently rejected. The statistical framework of LATM is underpinned by the Hypergeometric distribution, a discrete probability distribution that is highly applicable in scenarios of sampling without replacement (Montgomery 2013). Through the

application of the HYPGEOMDIST function in Excel, we meticulously calculate the probabilities for the presence of zero and one defective chip within the sample, which are found to be 0.9024 and 0.09519, respectively. Consequently, the cumulative probability of a lot being accepted under the LATM criteria is determined to be 0.9976. In stark contrast, the ICTM presents a more individualized approach to quality control, where each chip within a sample of 25, drawn sequentially from a lot of 500 chips, undergoes rigorous testing. This method incorporates the provision for replacement, ensuring that the lot size remains constant during the sampling process. The detection of a defective chip triggers immediate corrective measures targeted specifically at the faulty unit. The primary aim of ICTM is to significantly enhance the precision of the quality control process by intensively focusing on the identification and rectification of defective IC chips. The theoretical foundation of the ICTM is best represented through the Binomial Distribution, which models the probability of a fixed number of successes in a sequence of independent experiments (Learning 2016). By employing the BINOMDIST function in Excel, we calculate the probability of a lot passing the ICTM criteria, which is ascertained to be 0.9047. To perform a thorough comparative evaluation of these two methodologies, we analyze the probabilities associated with both LATM and ICTM. This comparative study reveals that LATM possesses a slightly higher probability of acceptance (0.09) in comparison to ICTM. This finding suggests that the acceptance criteria established in LATM may exhibit excessive leniency, potentially leading to the inadvertent acceptance of defective products within the manufacturing process. Therefore, the ICTM is identified as a more rigorous and effective method in the detection of defective IC chips. As a result of this analysis, we advocate for the adoption of ICTM over LATM in ABCtronics' quality control processes. This recommendation is made with the objective of enhancing the overall quality and reliability of the IC chips produced, thereby upholding and potentially elevating the manufacturing standards within the semiconductor industry.

5 CUSTOMER FEEDBACK

In this academic investigation, we engage in a statistical analysis to comprehend customer attitudes toward the products offered by the company under study. To this end, we have established specific statistical parameters: a sample mean bar x of 56.9

and a sample standard deviation (s) of 18.979. This analysis seeks to quantify customer perceptions, a task necessitated by initial qualitative assessments made by Robert, who believed the products to be well-received. We have computed the probabilities corresponding to four distinct customer satisfaction categories. This calculation was done to quantitatively assess customer opinions regarding the product's quality. The derived probabilities for each category are as follows: P(needs improvement) = 0.2, P(satisfactory) = 0.4, P(good) = 0.3, and P(very good)= 0.1. These probabilities indicate that a significant proportion of customers consider the products to be satisfactory, rather than good. Given that the population standard deviation (σ) is unknown for this sample, we estimated it using the sample standard deviation in conjunction with a T distribution (Zach 2023 & Math 2023). Utilizing a 90% confidence interval, with a significance level (α) of 0.1 and a critical t-value of 1.685, we calculated the confidence interval using the established statistical formula:

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} - t_{\alpha/2} \frac{\mathbf{s}}{\sqrt{n}} \le \infty \le \overline{\mathbf{x}} + t_{\alpha/2} \frac{\mathbf{s}}{\sqrt{n}}$$
(3)

The computation yielded an upper confidence limit of 61.95599 and a lower limit of 51.84401, thereby providing a range within which the true mean customer score is likely to fall (Zach 2023). Furthermore, to determine the requisite sample size for achieving a 90% confidence level in analyzing the mean customer score, we employed the formula:

$$n = \left(\frac{t_{\alpha/2} \cdot s}{E}\right)^2 \tag{4}$$

For the margin of error, set at 4 (E = 4). This calculation resulted in a minimum sample size of 64 (Bevans 2023). This sample size is essential for ensuring statistical reliability and validity in the assessment of customer satisfaction scores.

6 EQUATIONS AND MATHEMATICS

In the process of addressing question (a), this academic paper employs a multiple linear regression model to analyze the relationship between three independent variables, denoted as X1, X2, and X3, and the dependent variable Y. In the process of addressing question (a), this academic paper employs a multiple linear regression model to analyze the

relationship between three independent variables, denoted as X1, X2, and X3, and the dependent variable Y. The model is expressed as follows:

Y=8.861-0.00524X1-5.505X2+1.130X3Y=8.861-0 .00524X1-5.505X2+1.130X3 (5)

The initial step in our analysis involves assessing the model's goodness of fit, as indicated by the coefficient of determination (R²). The observed R² value of 0.908, which surpasses the threshold of 0.75, suggests an adequate preliminary fit of the model (Biometrika 2014). To further validate the model, ttests are conducted on the coefficients representing demand, price, and economic factors as shown in figure 1. These tests aim to examine the null hypothesis that posits the coefficients of these variables are equal to zero. The results of the t-tests, reflected in the p-values, indicate that at a 5% confidence level, the coefficient for demand is not statistically significant, leading to the acceptance of the null hypothesis for this variable. In contrast, the coefficients for price and economic factors demonstrate statistical significance at the same confidence level, thereby rejecting the null hypothesis and suggesting a meaningful impact on sales. An additional aspect of our analysis involves the detection of multicollinearity within the regression model. This is achieved by calculating the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) for each independent variable. The VIF, determined as the ratio of the variance of a coefficient in a model with multiple predictors to the variance of that coefficient in a model with only one predictor, serves as an indicator of multicollinearity. A VIF value exceeding 5 is generally considered indicative of multicollinearity. As shown in figure 2, VIF for all variables in this model is less than 5. Therefore, there is no obvious multicollinearity in this model. For question (b), we derive probabilities and average sales volumes under distinct market demand scenarios, utilizing data from the provided table. The probabilities for these scenarios are as follows: P(XX>200) = 0.4, $P(100 \le$ $XX \leq 200$ = 0.4, and P(XX < 100) = 0.2. Corresponding average sales figures are $YY(XX>200) = 2.385, YY(100 \le XX \le 200) = 2.14,$ and YY(XX < 100) = 1.265. Based on these figures, we compute the expected sales figures for each scenario: E(XX>200) = 0.954, $E(100 \le XX \le 200) =$ 0.856, and E(XX < 100) = 0.253. Additionally, referencing the market demand estimate table, we ascertain the probability P(YY>3) to be 0.2, indicating a 20% likelihood of achieving the target sales volume of 3 million chips. This probabilistic approach provides insights into the potential sales

performance under varying market conditions.lidity in the assessment of customer satisfaction scores.

```
test demand==0
(1) demand = 0
                 6) =
                         4.48
          1,
           Prob > F =
                         0.0787
test price==0
(1) price = 0
      F( 1,
                 6)
                         61.74
           Prob > F
                         0.0002
test economic==0
(1) economic = 0
                 6) =
                        14.62
      F( 1,
           Prob > F =
                         0.0087
```

Figure 1. Data of testing individuel coefficients (Photo/Picture credit: Original)

inear regress	100			F(3, 6) Frob > R-squar Root MS	F ed E		25.47 0.0008 0.9075 .34627
sales_volume	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
demand price economic	0052388 -5.505411 1.130183 8.860711	.0024761 .7006421 .2955348	-2.12 -7.86 3.82	0.079 0.000 0.009	0112 -7.22 .40	2975 1982 7035	.0008199 -3.791001 1.85333

Figure 2. Data of linear regression model (Photo/Picture credit :Original)

7 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study has substantiated several key findings pertinent to the operations and quality control measures at ABCtronics. Firstly, our analysis supports Mark's assumption that the downtime of the ion implanter follows a gamma distribution pattern. This insight provides a more accurate framework for anticipating and managing equipment downtime. Further investigation into the chemical impurity levels in the fabrication process reveals that a threshold of 30% impurity is a critical juncture; beyond this point, there is a marked increase in impurity levels. Therefore, implementing a 30% cutoff for impurity levels in the fabrication process emerges as a prudent strategy to mitigate the risks associated with excessive impurities. A comparative analysis between the existing Lot Acceptance Testing Method (LATM) and the proposed Individual Chip Testing Method (ICTM) indicates that LATM has a relatively higher probability of acceptance. However, this could potentially lead to the inadvertent approval of defective products due to their moderate threshold value. Conversely, ICTM demonstrates greater stringency and effectiveness in detecting defective chips, making it a preferable quality control method. The study also delved into customer satisfaction, utilizing data from Table 2 to compute a 90% confidence interval for the average customer score, which ranges from 51.84401 to 61.95599. Additionally, considering a specified margin of error of 4, we determined that a minimum sample size of 64 is required to analyze the mean customer score with 90% confidence. Finally, an analysis of market demand estimates suggests a 20% probability (P(YY>3) = 0.2) of achieving the target sales volume of 3 million chips. The semiconductor industry is currently experiencing medium-level demand, with the potential for meeting or exceeding targets if additional orders, such as those from Customer PQRsystems, are secured. This finding underscores the importance of strategic market engagement and customer acquisition in achieving sales objectives

REFERENCES

- G. E. P. Box, J. S. Hunter, W. G. Hunter, Statistics for Experimenters: Design, Innovation, and Discovery (2005).
- D. C. Montgomery, Introduction to Statistical Quality Control (2013).
- S. M. Ross, Introduction to Probability Models (2014)
- M. Evans, N. Hastings, B. Peacock, Statistical Distributions (2000).
- T. Pyzdek, P. A. Keller, The Six Sigma Handbook (2018)
- M. E. Learning, The Experts at Dummies. Using the t-Distribution to Calculate Confidence Intervals (2016)
- Zach. How to Calculate Confidence Intervals: 3 Example Problems. Statology (2023).
- A. Math, Confidence Interval Using t Distribution Calculator (2023).
- R. Bevans, Understanding Confidence Intervals: Easy Examples & Formulas (2023).
- T. Biometrika, Biometrika 101(4), 927-942 (2014).