Exploiting Data Spatial Dependencies for Employee Turnover Prediction

Sandra Maria Pereira¹⁰ª, Jéssica da Assunção Almeida de Lima², Alessandro Garcia Vieira²⁰^b and Wladmir Cardoso Brandão^{1,2}

1 *Institute of Exact Sciences and Informatics, Pontifical Catholic University of Minas Gerais,*

Dom Jose Gaspar Street, 500, Belo Horizonte, Brazil ²Sólides S.A., Tomé de Souza Street, 845, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

sandra.pereira@sga.pucminas.br, {*jessica, alessandro*}*@solides.com.br, wladmir@pucminas.br*

Keywords: Predictive Analytics, Spatial Models, Spatial Dependence, Machine Learning, Turnover Prediction.

Abstract: Machine learning techniques have been increasingly employed to address problems within the field of human resources. A significant issue in this domain is predicting employee turnover, related to the probability of an employee leaving the company. Employee turnover is directly related to the availability of knowledge and resources that affect the continuity of the company's goods and services supply. Managing employee turnover involves multiple areas of expertise, rendering it a complex problem. This article proposes a methodology to determine whether prediction problems exhibits spatial dependence, thereby demanding the use of spatial models over non-spatial models for optimal resolution. Experimental results show that significant differences arise when analyzing correlations that consider the geographical positioning of the data. Particularly, prediction models that use geographic features to predict employee turnover outperform prediction models that do not use them, with gains ranging from 9.6% to 19.6% in the standard deviation of MAPE, from 5.5% to 10.4% in MAE, and from 0.99% to 2.9% in RMSE.

HNC

1 INTRODUCTION

Complex phenomena often exhibit non trivial behavior patterns, testing our ability to predict them. Highly unlikely events, such as sudden epidemic outbreaks, demand a careful approach for risk management (Taleb, 2010). Employee turnover is one such complex problem, characterized by employees leaving an organization, resulting in significant gaps in the qualified workforce and compromising the company's productivity and competitiveness (Lazzari et al., 2022). It stands out due to its multidisciplinary nature, by focusing on the analysis of behavior and interpersonal relationships in the workplace, drawing on knowledge from psychology, computer science, mathematics, and other disciplines to understand and anticipate organizational challenges.

Turnover is one of the most significant problem companies face during their life cycle. Recent studies on employee turnover prediction using machine learning often prove the solutions is usually specific to a particular context, making generalization a challenging problem (Zhao et al., 2019).

Geosciences studies traditionally focus on physical phenomena or environmental studies (Dramsch, 2020). The growing relevance of spatial econometrics highlights the importance of understanding social phenomena with a strong geospatial emphasis (Anselin, 2021). This underscores the need to consider not only the interactions between variables but also the influence of spatial context in the analysis and development of computational models.

This article proposes a methodology to assess the existence of spatial dependencies in prediction problems, particularly in the employee turnover prediction problem. Experiments were conducted using linear regression models, spatial and non-spatial data from Brazilian open government data with the register of employee's admissions and terminations. Particularly, one used data from two regions in the Minas Gerais state in Brazil, between the years from 2018 to 2021, to create comparable results and demonstrate the existence of such spatial dependencies.

Experimental results show significant gains in all analyses performed on the georeferenced datasets. Notably, prediction models that use geographic features outperform prediction models that do not use

250

Pereira, S., de Lima, J., Vieira, A. and Brandão, W. Exploiting Data Spatial Dependencies for Employee Turnover Prediction. DOI: 10.5220/0012948600003825 Paper published under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) In *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Web Information Systems and Technologies (WEBIST 2024)*, pages 250-257 ISBN: 978-989-758-718-4; ISSN: 2184-3252 Proceedings Copyright © 2024 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda.

^a https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3926-4603

^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9921-3588

^c https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1523-1616

them, with gains ranging from 9.6% to 19.6% in the standard deviation of MAPE, from 5.5% to 10.4% in MAE, and from 0.99% to 2.9% in RMSE.

The reminder of this article is organized as follows: in Section 2 one present the background, in Section 3 one present the proposed methodology, in Section 4 one present experimental setup, in Section 5 one present experimental results, and finally, in Section 6 one present conclusion and future work.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Spatial Data

Spatial data refers to data that have a location component, which mean that they are associated to a specific spatial position (Pebesma and Bivand, 2023). This data is used to describe the properties and relations between objects and events. They might be present in different forms, such as points, representing specific coordinates, lines, representing routes or limits and polygons, representing regions.

Geospatial data are a subset of spatial data that includes the coordinates of the position of an object or phenomenon on the Earth's surface. These data include not only location with latitude, longitude and altitude, but also attributes that describe the properties of objects or geographic events. While all geospatial data are also spatial data, not all spatial data is also a geospatial data, since geospatial data require an explicit reference to their geographical coordinates (Ajjali, 2023).

Geospatial data are critical in representing and analyzing the physical world, offering precise details on the location and properties of several phenomena. These data include raster data, typically used for images and continuous data fields, and vector data, including points, lines, and polygons. The accurate representation of geospatial data relies on the selection of multiple scales and projections, ensuring the fidelity of spatial relationships (Oshan et al., 2022).

2.2 Spatial Analisys

Spatial analysis aims to directly measure properties and relationships of a phenomena, taking into account its spatial positioning. Understanding how data from phenomena occurring in space are distributed is a significant challenge to address crucial questions in several fields of knowledge.

Spatial dependency refers to the principle that the location of a geographical object or phenomenon influences, and is influenced by, other nearby objects or phenomena. This concept is crucial to spatial analysis, as it suggests that spatial patterns are not random but are the result of proximity and interaction between geographic elements.

The rationale for spatial dependence analysis is that identical datasets can produce different results when considering their spatial positioning, as illustrated in Figure 1. Even with the same number of observations, distinct spatial distributions can reveal patterns and insights that traditional data analysis might miss (Anselin and Bera, 1998).

Figure 1: Feature distributions types.

2.3 Spatial Autocorrelation

Spatial autocorrelation is a measure that assesses the degree of similarity or dependence between values of an attribute at different geographical locations. It indicates how similar the characteristics of a phenomenon at a given location are to the characteristics at nearby locations. If nearby locations have similar characteristics, spatial autocorrelation is positive, otherwise, autocorrelation is negative. This measure is essential to understand spatial patterns, as it takes into account the influence that geographical proximity has on the variables under consideration (Wang et al., 2016; Rey et al., 2021).

2.3.1 Measures of Spatial Autocorrelation

Weight Matrix. The weight matrix is a mathematical representation that specifies the degree of proximity and influence that one location has over other locations. Each element W_{ij} in the matrix represents the weight assigned to the relationship between locations *i* and *j*. Specifically:

- *i* and *j* are indices referring to different locations in the dataset.
- W_{ii} quantifies the strength or significance of the spatial connection between location *i* and *j*.

Moran's I. The Moran's I is a statistical measure used to quantify spatial autocorrelation in a geospatial dataset. It assesses the degree of similarity between values of a variable at geographically close locations and helps identify patterns of spatial aggregation or dispersion. A positive Moran's I value indicates that nearby locations tend to have similar characteristics, whereas a negative value suggests that nearby locations have different characteristics (Chen, 2013). Formally, Moran's I is defined as:

$$
I = \frac{N}{W} \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} w_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}
$$
(1)

where N is the number of spatial units indexed by *i* and *j*, *x* represents the variable of interest, \bar{x} is the mean of *x*, and w_{ij} is the spatial weight between units *i* and *j*. The sum of all spatial weights is denoted as *W*. When the index value is close to +1, it indicates a strong positive spatial autocorrelation, meaning similar values cluster together in space. A value close to -1 suggests a strong negative spatial autocorrelation, where dissimilar values are spatially clustered. A value around 0 indicates a random spatial pattern with no discernible clustering (Chen, 2023).

2.4 Employee Turnover

Employee turnover is defined as the process by which employees leave an organization and are replaced by new ones. This phenomenon can be analyzed from several dimensions, including the types of turnover and the factors that influence it. Voluntary turnover occurs when an employee decides to leave the company, which may be motivated by different reasons such as seeking new job opportunities, dissatisfaction with the current job, personal issues, or a desire for a change of environment. Involuntary turnover occurs when the company decides to terminate the employee, which can also be due to several reasons, such as poor performance, organizational restructuring, or cost-cutting measures (Thibault Landry et al., 2017).

In this article, in line with its purpose of analyzing the impacts of spatial dependence on predicting the likelihood an employee holds a position in a company, one considered both voluntary and involuntary turnover.

2.5 Open Government Data

Open government data are typically defined as datasets that is available to the public and can be accessed and used without significant restrictions, except to protect privacy and security (Wirtz et al., 2022). These databases are publicly available data provided by governments to promote transparency and citizen participation.

Open Government Data are typically made available to the public through digital platforms in several

formats (Nikiforova and McBride, 2021) and consists of informational resources whose availability can influence the digital economy and promote innovation (Luo and Tang, 2024).

2.6 Evaluation Metrics

To evaluate the impact of geospatial features on solving real-world problems, different comparative metrics can be used. Below one present some of these metrics:

2.6.1 Pearson Correlation

$$
r = \frac{n\sum xy - \sum x\sum y}{\sqrt{[n\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2][n\sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2]}} \tag{2}
$$

Where *r* is the Pearson correlation coefficient, *n* is the number of data points (pairs of scores). *x* and *y* are the individual data points for the two variables being compared.

The denominator of the formula contains the product of two square roots. Each square root represents the standard deviations of the respective variables.

2.6.2 ANOVA f-Statistic

$$
F = \frac{\text{MSB}}{\text{MSW}}\tag{3}
$$

Where F is F-statistic in ANOVA, MSB is Mean Square Between (Between-Groups Mean Square), MSW is Mean Square Within (Within-Groups Mean Square).

$$
MSB = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2}{k - 1}
$$
 (4)

Where n_i is the number of observations in group *i*, \bar{X}_i is the mean of the observations in group *i*, \overline{X} is the overall mean of all observations across all groups, *k* is the number of groups being compared.

$$
MSW = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2}{N - k}
$$
(5)

Where n_i is the number of observations in group i , X_{ij} is the value of the *j*-th observation in group *i*, \overline{X}_i is the mean of the observations in group *i*, *N* − *k* represents the degrees of freedom associated with the within-group variance, where *N* is the total number of observations and *k* is the number of groups.

2.6.3 MAPE (Mean Absolute Percentage Error)

$$
MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{A_i - F_i}{A_i} \right| \times 100\% \tag{6}
$$

Where MAPE stands for Mean Absolute Percentage Error, *n* is the number of data points or observations, *Aⁱ* represents the actual value for the *i*-th observation, F_i represents the forecasted value for the *i*-th observation, $\left| \frac{A_i - F_i}{A_i} \right|$ for the i -th observation.    calculates the absolute percentage error

2.6.4 MAE (Mean Absolute Error)

$$
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |A_i - F_i|
$$
 (7)

Where MAE stands for Mean Absolute Error, *n* is the number of data points or observations, *Aⁱ* represents the actual value for the i -th observation, F_i represents the forecasted value for the *i*-th observation, $|A_i - F_i|$ calculates the absolute error for the *i*-th observation.

2.6.5 RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error)

RMSE =
$$
\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_i - F_i)^2}
$$
 (8)

Where RMSE stands for Root Mean Squared Error, *n* is the number of data points or observations, *Aⁱ* represents the actual value for the *i*-th observation, *Fⁱ* represents the forecasted value for the *i*-th observation, $(A_i - F_i)^2$ calculates the squared error for the *i*-th observation.

The square root of the mean squared error is taken to return the result to the original units of the data.

3 METHODOLOGY

Figure 2 outlines the proposed methodology to evaluate the impacts of geographical positioning in predictive machine learning models. This methodology provides a comprehensive framework for conducting data analysis, modeling, and result evaluation, enabling a deeper understanding of the problem.

3.1 Comparison of Methods

Spatial Dependence:

- Linear Regression does not consider any spatial relationships or dependencies. It treats each variable independently and performs a simple linear regression using only the selected column.
- Geo Spatial Linear Regression incorporates spatial dependency by calculating spatial weights using K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and applying a spatial lag to the Employment Time variable. This method considers the influence of neighboring observations in the prediction process.

Figure 2: Guidelines for using a spatial model.

Complexity:

- Linear Regression is simpler and focuses on a single-variable linear regression without any spatial considerations.
- Geo Spatial Linear Regression is more complex, involving the creation of spatial weights, calculation of spatial lags, and the use of multiple variables in the regression model.

Use Cases:

- Linear Regression is more appropriate for standard regression tasks where the goal is to understand the linear relationship between individual variables and the target variable, without considering spatial factors.
- Geo Spatial Linear Regression is suited for scenarios where spatial relationships are important, such as geospatial data analysis where the location or proximity of data points might influence the outcome.

3.2 Conceptual Database

The conceptual dataset was structured through data cleaning and standardization, as each year's records had differences in dictionaries and formats such as ASCII and UTF-8. The structuring process occurred in two stages:

1. Selection of Relevant Columns: initially, all columns with redundant or duplicate information were removed. All columns containing categorical data were transformed into binary data, and the original columns were then removed. Columns with variance lower than 5% were removed.

2. Selection of Relevant Records: records related to business categories in the Technology and Communication sector were selected. Records with null values were removed. Records outside 96.4% of the normal distribution were removed.

Libraries such as Pandas are used for data manipulation, NumPy for numerical calculations, and SciPy for statistical and scientific operations. Subsequently, the data is normalized and transformed using Scikitlearn for data preprocessing and normalization. This process results in a prepared dataset ready for exploration using advanced spatial analysis and modeling techniques.

Geographic data is associated with features, transforming it into a geospatial database to enable the use of spatial models. Python libraries for handling spatial data were used to conduct the experiments, such as GeoPandas, Shapely, and PySAL.

4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

To evaluate the proposed methodology one use in the experiments a conceptual dataset derived from three Brazilian open government data.

RAIS (Annual Social Information Report): legal record documenting the hiring and dismissals carried out by Brazilian companies. This source provides a detailed view of labour relations in the country. This dataset is administered by the Ministry of Labor and Employment of the Brazilian government. encompassing over 60 critical variables such as gender, age, disability status, company area, job code, salary, and length of employment.

DTB (Division of Brazilian Territory): provides geo-referenced data on Brazilian municipalities. This includes their polygons, as well as the latitude and longitude of their administrative centers. This dataset is administered and distributed by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics. Brazil is geopolitically divided into 27 federate units or states, which are further divided into meso-regions. The DBT dataset contains these geopolitical divisions, including municipality codes, boundary polygons, and geographic coordinates of the municipal centers.

Social Data: also distributed by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics, it contains the Human Development Index, longevity index, average income index, education index, and other sociodemographic indices of the Brazilian population.

The performance of the proposed methodology was evaluated using metrics such as standard error of regression and regression coefficients (Feitosa et al., 2021). Manhattan distance was used as a proximity metric for neighbor selection in spatial models. Linear regression models were trained to capture spatial patterns in the data (Son et al., 2014). MAE, MAPE and RMSE metrics were all used to assess and compare the performance of different models. These metrics provided insights into the variations of the models in relation to the non-georeferenced and georeferenced data.

5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The scope of the results and discussions presented is limited to describing how the outcomes may vary depending on the consideration of geographic reference or not, using regression models.

The results of the experiments were obtained using three datasets. Two datasets are independent and refer to the set of cities that comprise the Metropolitan Region of Belo Horizonte (RMBH), a big city in Brazil, and a set of cities that make up the South and Southwest regions of the Brazilian state of Minas Gerais (SSMG). The third dataset is formed by their union, denoted as RMSS. Table 1 demonstrates the composition of the datasets. TIONS

RMSS					
Lines	202,417				
Municipalities	139				
Lower left	$(-48.277, -22.858)$				
Upper right	$(-42.552, -15.799)$				
RMBH					
Lines	188,672				
Municipalities	49				
Lower left	$(-48.823, -21.999)$				
Upper right	$(-42.409, -15.327)$				
SSMG					
Lines	13,745				
Municipalities	90				
Lower left	$(-47.240, -22.922)$				
Upper right	$(-43.976, -20.218)$				

Table 1: Dataset characteristics.

Comparison metrics were employed to conduct a comparative analysis between two datasets, one with geographical positioning and the other without, using linear regression models. Regarding the features, those with the highest scores, non-null values, and presence in both SSMG and RMBH datasets were selected.

5.1 Correlation Analysis

The correlation analysis aimed to identify the most influential variables crucial to construct the datasets used in this investigation. Despite encompassing comprehensive employment data across Brazil with a multitude of variables, the analysis surprisingly revealed very low correlations among them. This unexpected finding indicates the complexity of the relationships within the dataset and suggests that alternative approaches may be necessary to address the research problem effectively. Figure 3 shows the variability of each feature.

From Figure 3 one observe that the location assigns distinct score values for each feature, highlighting features ibge subsector 20, HDI longev 2010, HDI_educ_2010, and estab_size_07, which exhibit inverse correlations. Regarding the importance of features, a variation was observed, indicating that different locations have distinct scores for the variables, as shown by Figure 4.

From Figure 4 one observe that the two datasets have very distinct scores for each variable, and that the RMSS dataset, although predominantly composed of RMBH data, undergoes significant changes when combined with the SSMG dataset, which has a more homogeneous variation in the scores of the variables.

5.1.1 Spatial Autocorrelation Analysis

In spatial analysis, one are interested in evaluate whether a feature has a correlation with its neighborhood or if its distribution is random. The analysis consists on verifying the variability of the dependent feature, employment time, across the three datasets, and two other predictor features, race_04 and HDI_educ2000, in the individual datasets RMBH and SSMG, as presented in Table 2. These predictor variables alternate between True and False in the two datasets.

Table 2: Spatial Autocorrelation of Different Features Across Datasets. A) Spatial Autocorrelation; B) Expected I; C) Observed I; D) I p-value.

Dataset	A	B	C	D			
	Days_on_Leave						
RMSS	False	-0.008	0.019	0.269			
RMBH	False	-0.021	-0.010	0.396			
SSMG	False	-0.011	0.078	0.094			
	race 04						
RMSS	True	-0.008	0.122	0.009			
RMBH	False	-0.016	0.081	0.129			
SSMG	True	-0.013	0.112	0.038			
HDI educ 2000							
RMSS	True	-0.008	0.141	0.003			
RMBH	True	-0.025	0.220	0.004			
SSMG	False	-0.011	0.067	0.126			

For the analysis, the Moran's I index was used. Expected Moran's I refers to the expected value of the Moran's I index under the null hypothesis of no spatial autocorrelation. This value is calculated assuming there is no spatial pattern in the data and serves as a comparison base for the observed value of the Moran's I index.

The observed Moran's I is the actual calculated value of the Moran's I index derived from the observed data. It quantifies the degree of spatial autocorrelation, indicating how similar or dissimilar values are spatially distributed across the study area. This measure is obtained without assuming any initial hypothesis about the spatial distribution, thus providing an unbiased reflection of the spatial patterns inherent in the data.

The I p-value is the statistical significance value associated with the observed Moran's I. It indicates the probability of observing a Moran's I value equal to or more extreme than the observed one under the null hypothesis, of no spatial autocorrelation. A low p-value, usually less than 0.05, suggests statistically significant evidence of spatial autocorrelation in the data, implying that the observed spatial pattern is unlikely to have occurred by random chance alone. This helps in confirming whether the spatial clustering or dispersion observed in the data is meaningful.

Regarding the dependent variable, from Table 2 one observe that for Employment_Time there is no spatial dependence in any of the datasets. However, from Figures 5a, 5b, and 5c one observe that there are variations in many degrees. It is also noteworthy that the formation of clusters varies depending on whether the datasets are analyzed independently or collectively.

Regarding the predictor variable race 04 , it is possible to observe from Table 2 that there is no spatial autocorrelation for the RMBH dataset, whereas there is such correlation for the SSMG dataset. From Figures 6a and 6b one observe the existing variation, with clusters forming in the region where the feature exhibits spatial correlation.

From Figure 7 one observe the spacial autocorrelation of the variable idhed 2000.

Regarding the predictor variable idhed 2000, it is possible to observe from Table 2 that there is no spatial autocorrelation for the RMBH dataset, whereas there is such correlation for the SSMG dataset. From Figure 7a one observe the existing variation, and from

5.2 Metrics

This experiment consisted of comparing the MAE (Mean Absolute Error) and RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error) metrics, as well as conducting a detailed study of MAPE (Mean Absolute Percentage Error). Linear regression and spatial regression analyses were performed, involving univariate analyses, for both non-georeferenced and georeferenced datasets RMBH and SSMG.

Table 3: Performance of prediction models for different features, datasets, and geographic configurations.

Dataset	Geo	MAE	RMSE	std_mape		
race 04						
RMBH	no	0.168	0.209	2441.50		
RMBH	yes	0.151	0.206	1961.66		
Gain	$\%$	10.37	1.68	19.65		
SSMG	no	0.153	0.192	2224.06		
SSMG	yes	0.138	0.186	1798.95		
Gain	$\%$	9.81	2.86	19.11		
HDI_educ2000						
RMBH	no	0.159	0.207	2095.19		
RMBH	yes	0.150	0.205	1894.22		
Gain	$\%$	5.46	0.99	9.59		
SSMG	no	0.153	0.192	2224.06		
SSMG	yes	0.138	0.186	1788.42		
Gain	$\%$	9.91	2.90	19.58		

The univariate linear and spatial regression analysis (Griffith, 2000) aimed to individually measure the representativeness of each feature in relation to the duration of time an individual remains employed at a company. Two variables were chosen for the experiments: the variable race 04 , characterized by spatial randomness, and the variable HDI_educ_2000, exhibiting spatial dependence.

CONCLUSION

This paper proposed a methodology to assess the existence of spatial dependencies in prediction problems, particularly in the employee turnover prediction problem. The experiments were crucial in validating the hypothesis that the inclusion of geographic attributes can significantly enhance the accuracy of predictive models used to estimate employee turnover. The presence of spatial autocorrelation in the race 04 and idhed 2000 features in the independent datasets suggests that spatial interactions can substantially impact the predictive accuracy of these variables.

Experimental results revealed differences in the performance of predictive models when comparing georeferenced and non-georeferenced data. Particularly, prediction models that use geographic features outperformed prediction models that do not use them, with gains ranging from 9.6% to 19.6% in the standard deviation of MAPE, from 5.5% to 10.4% in MAE, and from 0.99% to 2.9% in RMSE. These results highlight the importance of considering the spatial context when building predictive models, as incorporating geographic variables can lead to significant improvements in prediction accuracy.

For future research, one intent to investigate multivariate spatial analyses and explore more sophisticated spatial models to optimize employee turnover prediction. Advanced models such as Spatial Autoregressive Models (SAR) and Spatial Error Models (SEM) offer more refined perspectives on spatial interactions that may impact employee tenure. Additionally, the use of Spatial Neural Networks and Hierarchical Bayesian Models can provide deeper and more precise insights by capturing complexities in spatial dependencies and data heterogeneity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Pontifícia Universidade Catolica de Minas Gerais – PUC-Minas and ´ Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior — CAPES (CAPES – Grant PROAP 88887.842889/2023-00 – PUC/MG, Grant PDPG 88887.708960/2022-00 – PUC/MG - Informatica, ´ and Finance Code 001).

REFERENCES

- Ajjali, W. (2023). Coordinate systems and projections. In *ArcGIS Pro e ArcGIS Online*, Springer Textbooks in Earth Sciences, Geography and Environment. Springer, Cham.
- Anselin, L. (2021). Spatial models in econometric research. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Economics and Finance*.
- Anselin, L. and Bera, A. (1998). Spatial dependence in linear regression models with an introduction to spatial econometrics. In Ullah, A. and Giles, D. E., editors, *Handbook of Applied Economic Statistics*, pages 237– 289. Marcel Dekker.
- Chen, Y. (2013). New approaches for calculating moran's index of spatial autocorrelation. *PloS one*, 8(7):e68336.
- Chen, Y. (2023). Spatial autocorrelation equation based on moran's index. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1):19296.
- Dramsch, J. S. (2020). 70 years of machine learning in geoscience in review. *Advances in Geophysics*, 61:1–55.
- Feitosa, F., Barros, J., Marques, E., and Giannotti, M. (2021). Measuring changes in residential segregation in são paulo in the 2000s. In *Urban Socio-Economic Segregation and Income Inequality*, pages 507–523. Springer International Publishing.
- Griffith, D. A. (2000). A linear regression solution to the spatial autocorrelation problem. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 2:141–156.
- Lazzari, M., Alvarez, J. M., and Ruggieri, S. (2022). Predicting and explaining employee turnover intention. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, 14(3):279–292.
- Luo, Y. and Tang, Z. (2024). The impact of open government data on the digital economy: Evidence from china. *SSRN*.
- Nikiforova, A. and McBride, K. (2021). Open government data portal usability: A user-centred usability analysis of 41 open government data portals. *Telematics and Informatics*, 58:101539.
- Oshan, T., Wolf, L., Sachdeva, M., et al. (2022). A scoping review on the multiplicity of scale in spatial analysis. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 24:293–324.
- Pebesma, E. and Bivand, R. (2023). *Spatial data science: With applications in R*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Rey, S. J., Anselin, L., and Li, W. (2021). *Handbook of Spatial Analysis in the Social Sciences*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Son, W., Hwang, S. W., and Ahn, H. K. (2014). Mssq: Manhattan spatial skyline queries. *Information Systems*, 40:67–83.
- Taleb, N. N. (2010). *The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable*. Random House, 2nd edition.
- Thibault Landry, A., Schweyer, A., and Whillans, A. (2017). Winning the war for talent: Modern motivational methods for attracting and retaining employees. *Compensation & Benefits Review*, 49(4):230–246.
- Wang, J.-F., Zhang, T.-L., and Fu, B.-J. (2016). A measure of spatial stratified heterogeneity. *Ecological Indicators*, 67:250–256.
- Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., Becker, M., and Müller, W. M. (2022). Open government data: A systematic literature review of empirical research. *Electronic Markets*, 32(4):2381–2404.
- Zhao, Y., Hryniewicki, M. K., Cheng, F., Fu, B., and Zhu, X. (2019). Employee turnover prediction with machine learning: A reliable approach. In Sani, A. M. M., Shaout, K., and Abbass, H. A., editors, *Intelligent Systems and Applications: Proceedings of the 2018 Intelligent Systems Conference (IntelliSys) Volume 2*, pages 737–758. Springer International Publishing.