Ray-LUT: A Lookup-Based Method for Camera Lens Simulation in Real-Time Using Ray Tracing

Jan Honsbrok[®]^a, Sina Mostafawy, Jens Herder[®]^b and Alina Huldtgren[®]^c

Faculty of Media, Hochschule Düsseldorf, Münsterstraße 156, 40476 Düsseldorf, Germany {jan.honsbrok, sina.mostafawy, jens.herder, alina.huldtgren}@hs-duesseldorf.de

Keywords: Ray Tracing, Camera, Lens Effects, Optical Simulation, Real-Time, Caching, GPU.

Abstract: Lens systems have a major influence on the image due to effects such as depth of field or optical aberrations. The only method to simulate these effects precisely is to trace rays through an actual lens system. This provides accurate results, but only with high computational effort. To speed up the ray tracing through the lens system, various acceleration methods have been developed, requiring considerable precomputations. We present a new method based on the *Realistic Camera* by Kolb et. al.. Instead of tracing each ray through the lens system, the rays are precomputed once and stored in a lookup table. In contrast to other methods, our method is simple, and does not require substantial preprocessing upfront. We can simulate complex effects such as chromatic aberrations accurately in real-time, regardless the number of lens surfaces in the system. Our method achieves the same performance as state-of-the-art methods like Polynomial Optics, while maintaining the same number of samples per pixel.

1 INTRODUCTION

Lens systems cause a variety of effects that lead to visible changes in the image. Photorealistic ray tracing should therefore not only focus on the light interactions that occur within the scene, as the simulation of these effects is essential for achieving a photorealistic result. Many lens effects can only be achieved using ray tracing.

In the movie industry lens effects are simulated in offline renderings to create a realistic image. Since lens effects influence the image composition, it is not possible to judge an image without lens effects. To not disrupt the creative work of an animator, the impact of a change in the scene has to be visible immediately. A real-time preview of lens effects is therefore essential. Figure 1 shows the impact of missing lens effects in the animation preview.

The *Realistic Camera* (Kolb et al., 1995) is capable of simulating these effects accurately, but it is not suitable for real-time, due to the high computational costs of ray tracing through the lens system. Methods exists to reduce the cost of ray tracing, with *Polynomial Optics* (Hullin et al., 2012) being the most



Figure 1: The missing preview of lens effects leads to a completely different impression of the scene. (a) Depth of field conveys the depth and proportions of the scene and directs the viewer's attention to the chess pieces in front. (b) Distortion strongly influences horizontal and vertical lines in the image. Lens: (Angenieux, 1955) Scene: (Sayed et al., 2023).

promising of these methods. Instead of tracing rays through the lens system, the way of a ray through the system is described with a polynomial. Polynomial Optics can achieve high simulation accuracy while maintaining real-time capability. Fitting the polynomial requires a substantial amount of computation upfront, making it impossible to load an arbitrary lens system interactively at runtime.

A simple method to avoid repetitive and expensive computations is caching. For the simulation of a lens system, caching can reduce the costly ray tracing to a

Honsbrok, J., Mostafawy, S., Herder, J. and Huldtgren, A

ISBN: 978-989-758-728-3; ISSN: 2184-4321

Proceedings Copyright © 2025 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda.

^a https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3601-1798

^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1833-424X

^c https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0542-8626

Ray-LUT: A Lookup-Based Method for Camera Lens Simulation in Real-Time Using Ray Tracing

DOI: 10.5220/0013105900003912

Paper published under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

In Proceedings of the 20th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications (VISIGRAPP 2025) - Volume 1: GRAPP, HUCAPP and IVAPP, pages 177-184

simple lookup in memory. In contrast to Polynomial Optics, the preprocessing time to compute the cache is only a few milliseconds and can be neglected. An interactive calculation is also possible by progressively computing the cached samples.

The drawbacks of caching are always the calculation and memory consumptions of the cache. If the cached results have to be recalculated for each lookup, no performance gain can be achieved. High memory consumptions can make the use of a cache inappropriate or even impossible. The recalculation of the cache is not an issue for the simulation of lens systems, because only a few cases require a recalculation in real-time. In case of caching the rays of a lens system, the memory requirement depends on the resolution, the number of samples per pixel and number of stored wavelengths. Since the resolution and number of samples per pixel are typically low in real-time scenarios, the memory requirement appears justifiable in view of the performance advantages. Axis symmetry is applied to reduce the memory usage further.

We contribute a physically accurate method to simulate lens systems in real-time using ray tracing. Instead of tracing rays through the lens system for every frame, we compute the rays once and store them into a lookup table. Our method is based on the Realistic Camera, allowing us to simulate complex optical effects accurately. In contrast to other methods, our method does not require considerable preprocessing.

2 PREVIOUS WORK

(Kolb et al., 1995) introduced the *Realistic Camera*, a camera model utilizing sequential ray tracing to accurately simulate lens systems, resulting in images with complex optical effects. To reduce the number of vignetted rays, a global exit pupil is precalculated and explicitly sampled. (Steinert et al., 2011) extended the Realistic Camera with many photographic effects and improved the sampling of the exit pupil. Instead of a global exit pupil, the exit pupil is calculated per pixel, reducing the number of vignetted rays significantly. (Pharr et al., 2016) reduced the computational effort to calculate per-pixel exit pupils by utilizing rotational symmetry.

For real-time applications many different options were explored, being either too slow for real-time or lacking accuracy. (Nießner et al., 2012) used ray tracing on the GPU to simulate human perception through glasses. To ensure real-time performance only one sample per pixel is calculated per frame and the results are accumulated over several frames. Instead of ray tracing they propose the use of a blur, which is faster but less accurate. (Joo et al., 2016) optimized the intersection with aspheric lenses, but could still not achieve real-time performance using ray tracing. For real-time applications they demonstrate how to derive a realistic bokeh texture, which can be used with a defocus blur. (Lee et al., 2010) demonstrate that depth peeling can reduce the computational cost of ray tracing. This approach is less accurate, because occluded parts of the scene can be missed. (Sauer et al., 2022) and (Stein et al., 2021) demonstrate how to use filters for the simulation of progressive additive lenses. Since the refractive power of these lenses depends on the position on the lens, ray tracing is used to generate blur or distortion maps. The actual rendering process is conducted using rasterization.

As (Kolb et al., 1995) showed, ray tracing is the most accurate way to simulate complex lens effects. The computational cost of ray tracing is high due to the calculation of intersections and refractions. Various methods have been investigated to reduce the computational cost of ray tracing. (Hullin et al., 2011) reduced the number of traced rays for lens flare simulation by tracing a grid of rays through the lens system and interpolating the results. (Lee and Eisemann, 2013) further developed the method by (Hullin et al., 2011) and replaced the ray tracing with a 2x2 transformation matrix. This method is fast but lacks nonlinear effects. (Hullin et al., 2012) used polynomials instead of matrices, allowing for non-linear effects. (Hanika and Dachsbacher, 2014) showed how the accuracy of the polynomial can be improved by fitting it using a set of ray traced ground-truth samples. (Schrade et al., 2016) employed high degree polynomials to improve the accuracy for wide angle lenses. They applied orthogonal matching pursuit additionally to reduce the number of terms in the polynomial, improving the performance. Applying orthogonal matching pursuit can result in nearly exponential time (Zheng and Zheng, 2017a). (Zheng and Zheng, 2017a) proposed an adaptive approach to reduce the number of coefficients, which terminates in polynomial runtime. Even though (Zheng and Zheng, 2017a) reduced the time to fit the polynomial drastically, they showed it can still take multiple hours. Loading an arbitrary lens system interactively at runtime is therefore not possible. Once the polynomial is fitted Polynomial Optics achieves real-time performance.

Recent work also has shown that neural networks can be used for lens simulation, the *NeuroLens* (Zheng and Zheng, 2017b). While being more accurate than polynomial-based methods, the render times for complex lenses can be more than twice as high compared to the polynomial approach. It is therefore



Figure 2: Our method is capable of rendering complex optical aberrations in real-time. This figure shows the aberrations of three different lenses (Ogawa, 1996; Thorlabs, Inc., 2023; Schade, 1950) in the OpenChessSet scene (Sayed et al., 2023). All images are rendered using our method in real-time with 30 fps at a resolution of 1600x900 pixels on a NVIDIA GeForce RTX 4070 Ti. (a) is rendered using spectral sampling, (b) and (c) are not and therefore achieve higher sample rates.

questionable if this approach is suitable for real-time applications; the authors do not provide any information on this.

Polynomial Optics is capable of simulating a lens system physically accurately in real-time, but loading an arbitrary lens system at runtime is not possible interactively. Many approaches have employed preprocessing to reduce the computational effort at runtime (Joo et al., 2016; Sauer et al., 2022; Stein et al., 2021; Hullin et al., 2012; Hanika and Dachsbacher, 2014; Schrade et al., 2016; Zheng and Zheng, 2017a; Zheng and Zheng, 2017b), but no one has utilized caching and reused the rays once they were generated.

3 Ray-LUT

3.1 System Overview

Our method uses a lookup table, avoiding the computational costs of ray tracing and replacing it by a simple memory lookup, giving us high performance advantages.

The lookup table is calculated upfront using the Realistic Camera. To allow for a free moving camera, the rays are generated at the origin and transformed to the camera position at render time. Some changes to the lens system require a calculation in real-time. Because the Realistic Camera is not suitable for realtime, the lookup table cannot be recomputed in realtime. Instead, we perform a progressive recalculation over multiple frames.

3.2 Ray Generation

We rely on the Realistic Camera implementation by (Pharr et al., 2016) to generate camera rays. To simulate the path of a ray through the lens system, the Realistic Camera uses sequential ray tracing. Each ray is intersected with each lens surface and refracted according to Snell's Law. If the ray hits the lens housing, it is vignetted. To account for vignetting and the correct radiance, the Realistic Camera also returns a weight for each ray. As the implementation by (Pharr et al., 2016) did not provide support for chromatic aberrations, we added support for this effect.

Chromatic aberrations are caused by different refraction indices for different wavelengths. Each wavelength is refracted slightly differently, forming multiple images on the sensor. To determine the index of refraction for a given wavelength, we evaluate the Sellmeier Equation (von Sellmeier, 1871) on each lens surface, using measured data from manufacturers of optical glasses like Schott (Schott AG, 2023) or Ohara (Ohara Corporation, 2023). So far, we only sample wavelengths for R, G and B, but our method could be extended to arbitrary wavelengths.

Cylindrical surfaces where added to support anamorphic systems. No aspheric or free-form surfaces were implemented so far.

3.3 Recalculation of the Ray-LUT

The rays stored in the lookup table must be calculated before they can be used. The cache is populated upfront once. The cached rays depend on various parameters. If a single parameter changes, all rays have



Figure 3: Image quality of our method during a change of the focus distance d, with and without chromatic aberrations, using the Canon Zoom (34 surfaces) (Ogawa, 1996). Since the Ray-LUT is recalculated during the change, the number of samples per pixel is lower, resulting in a loss of image quality. The impression of the scene still remains.

to be recalculated. Depending on which parameter changes there are different requirements for the speed of the calculation. In most cases, a calculation with interactive frame rates is sufficient. Changing the description of the lens system, the aperture, the sensor size, the resolution or the number of samples per pixel is typically done during scene setup. In these cases, a calculation with interactive frame rates is sufficient, because none of these parameters changes over time. Changing the camera position, the focus distance or the zoom of the lens system needs to be possible in real-time, since these parameters are usually part of animations. To change the camera position in realtime, the rays can be generated at the origin and are transformed to the actual camera position. Changes of the focus distance and the zoom in real-time can be handled by performing a progressive calculation over multiple frames. Only a few samples per pixel are calculated per frame, ensuring real-time performance, but resulting in a temporary loss of quality. We argue that this is not an issue, since focus or zoom changes do not happen very often and the loss of quality is acceptable in a variety of applications. Figure 3 shows an example of the image quality during a focus change. There is a loss of quality, but the impression of the scene remains, being suitable for a real-time preview of animations.

3.4 Storing the Rays

The rays are stored into a flat, contiguous buffer. Samples of an individual pixel are stored next to each other. For every ray position, direction and weight are saved, adding up to a memory consumption of seven floats per ray. If chromatic aberrations are enabled, more than one wavelength sample is stored. In our case, we store three spectral samples.

To reduce the memory consumption, symmetries can be exploited. Because anamorphic lens systems are not rotational symmetric, only axis symmetry can be used. Axis symmetry allows us to only store one image quadrant, reducing the memory consumption to one quarter. So far, no interpolation between the samples has been investigated, but this could be part of future work.

The memory consumption is calculated based on the resolution, the number of samples per pixel and the number of stored wavelength samples. Table 1 shows the memory consumption of different configurations. For real-time scenarios smaller resolutions and lower sample counts are common. For example, the viewport of a digital content creation app is usually around 1600x900 pixels on a screen with 2560x1440 pixels, since other elements must fit on the screen. A NVIDIA GeForce RTX 4070Ti can roughly compute 25 samples per pixel in real-time, using a pinhole camera. A resolution of 1600x900 pixels and 25 samples per pixels lead to a memory consumption of 0.94 GB without and 2.82 GB with chromatic aberrations. Considering the huge performance benefits, this seems appropriate.

Table 1: Memory consumption Ray-LUT in gigabytes for different resolutions and samples per pixel (spp), with and without chromatic aberrations (CA).

spp	Full HD	Full HD (CA)	4K	4K (CA)
4	0.05	0.16	0.22	0.65
8	0.11	0.32	0.43	1.3
16	0.22	0.65	0.87	2.6
32	0.43	1.3	1.73	5.19
64	0.87	2.6	3.46	10.38
256	3.46	10.38	13.84	41.53
1024	13.84	41.53	55.37	166.11
4096	55.37	166.11	221.48	664.45

3.5 Implementation Details

We implemented our method using the NVIDIA OptiX Framework (Parker et al., 2010). OptiX was chosen because it is widely used and it was the framework we had the most experience with. Our results should apply to every other ray tracing framework. We used the OptiX Sample application from (Wald and Parker, 2019). This sample application allows the loading of a scene, the rendering of this scene using ray tracing and uses a denoiser. The code for primary ray generation was adjusted to allow switching between different camera models. This includes the pinhole camera, the thin lens model, the Realistic Camera, Polynomial Optics and our method.

The use of a lookup table gives us a huge performance advantage in terms of lens simulation. Achieving a noise-free result in real-time is not possible, as real-time constraints prevent rendering enough samples. For this reason, we combine real-time ray tracing with the NVIDIA OptiX Denoiser to achieve a ray traced image without noise in real-time.

4 RESULTS

We evaluated our method in terms of performance and compared our method to the thin lens model, the Realistic Camera and Polynomial Optics. All methods were implemented on the GPU using NVIDIA OptiX.

For the Realistic Camera, the implementation described in 3.2 was used without caching. For Polynomial Optics, we used the method by (Schrade et al., 2016) because there was a reference implementation available in their supplementary material. For the polynomial, we used a degree of 8 and a maximum number of coefficients of 15. This seemed to be a good tradeoff between performance and accuracy.

We used the OpenChessSet scene (Sayed et al., 2023) as a test scene. The scene contains 1,430,950 triangles and 13 textures with a resolution of 2048x2048 pixels. The scene is illuminated by a single area light, only lambertian shading is applied to the surfaces. We also evaluated the different methods in other scenes, which showed similar results. Therefore, only the results of the OpenChessSet scene are presented.

All tests were performed on a test system with an AMD Ryzen 9 5950X processor with 16 cores, 128 GB of RAM and an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 4070Ti with 12 GB VRAM. Windows 10 was used as the operating system. All tests were performed at a resolution of 1600x900 pixels, which is roughly the size of a viewport in a digital content creation app on a screen with 2560x1440 pixels.

4.1 Performance

We used a fixed set of lenses to evaluate the performance of our method. The lenses were selected by the count of surfaces in the system, since this aspect

Table 2: Lenses used for performance evaluation.

Lens	Surfaces
Biconvex Lens (Thorlabs, Inc., 2023)	3
Angenieux (Angenieux, 1955)	15
Canon (Ogawa, 1996)	34
Panavision (Neil, 2006)	57

is very interesting for performance. Table 2 shows an overview of the selected lenses. All methods were tested with each of the lens systems listed above, with the exception of the Panavision lens for Polynomial Optics, as no corresponding polynomials could be generated.

The render time of the individual method was measured at 25 samples per pixel, with and without chromatic aberrations. This number of samples was selected, because it is the maximum number of samples the thin lens can still display in real-time. Figure 4 shows the render time the various methods could achieve.

For the Realistic Camera, the render time grows linearly with the number of lenses in the system. The simplest lens is already slower than the thin lens model. With chromatic aberrations the render time grows up to 633 ms. Polynomial Optics achieves an almost constant performance. The performance of our method is comparable to the thin lens model, regardless the number of lenses in the system. With chromatic aberrations, the performance is way better than the Realistic Camera and comparable to Polynomial Optics.



Figure 4: Render time in ms for different methods and lenses using 25 samples per pixel, with and without chromatic aberrations (CA).

4.2 Achieved Effects

With the Realistic Camera as the foundation of our method, we can accurately simulate a variety of effects. Figure 2 shows examples for chromatic aberrations, distortion, spherical aberrations, vignetting and



Figure 5: Biconvex Lens, 3 surfaces (Thorlabs, Inc., 2023), with chromatic aberrations, 6 samples per pixel, 30 fps (1600x900 pixels). Since the Biconvex Lens is not corrected for chromatic aberrations, they are clearly visible.

curvature of field. Figure 5 shows a perspective of the OpenChessSet scene using the Biconvex Lens (Thorlabs, Inc., 2023). We can see the high-quality depth of field. As the Biconvex Lens is not corrected for chromatic aberrations, they are clearly visible in the image.

4.3 Image Quality During Recalculation



Figure 6: FLIP error of our method during progressive recalculation compared to cache hit, with and without chromatic aberrations (CA). Lower is better.

To recalculate the cache in real-time, we perform a progressive recalculation with only a few samples per pixel, resulting in a loss of image quality. To measure the loss, we rendered two images for each lens using our method, one with the sample count for progressive recalculation and another one with the full sample count available on cache hit. We compared those images to a reference image generated using the Realistic Camera with 4000 samples per pixel and computed the error using FLIP (Andersson et al., 2020). We chose FLIP because it is a perceptual metric, modeling the perceived difference between two images when alternating between them. Figure 6 shows the FLIP error for the various lenses.

Because the rays are generated using the Realistic Camera, the complexity rises linearly with the number of lens surfaces. Therefore, lens systems with more surfaces achieve a smaller number of samples per pixel during progressive recalculation, resulting in a larger error. Our method can deliver the same amount of samples per pixel regardless the complexity of the lens, accordingly, the error in case of a cache hit is almost constant across all lenses. For lenses with only a few surfaces, the same number of samples per pixel can be achieved during recalculation as during cache hit. With chromatic aberrations, the computational effort increases, which reduces the number of samples per pixel during recalculation and increases the error.

5 DISCUSSION

Like Polynomial Optics, our method can achieve high performance advantages over the Realistic Camera. The performance is comparable to Polynomial Optics and is independent from the number of surfaces in the lens system.

These performance advantages come at the cost of high storage requirements, as all rays must be stored in memory. Axis symmetry is used to reduce to the memory consumption. In real-time scenarios, a small number of samples per pixel and a lower resolution is common, resulting in a memory consumption of multiple gigabytes. In view of the high performance advantage the memory requirement appears justifiable.

Like other methods, our method requires preprocessing, which in our case is to compute the cache. Compared to Polynomial Optics, this is considerably fast. Even for a lens system with 57 surfaces, we can compute the cache with 25 samples per pixel in 28 ms. In contrast, Polynomial Optics needs multiple hours to fit the polynomial (Zheng and Zheng, 2017b). While the polynomial could be fitted upfront, this is not suitable for use cases in which the lens system is not known in advance, for example because the lens system is still in development and is constantly changing. With our method, it is possible to get a real-time visualization of any lens system without long preprocessing.

To evaluate the polynomial efficiently at runtime, Polynomial Optics outputs the fitted polynomial as c99-Code and compiles it to machine code. Compared to the evaluation of a list of coefficients, performance advantages of 20x can be achieved. (Hanika and Dachsbacher, 2014) To load and simulate an arbitrary lens system at runtime, some kind of compiler is required, making the setup more complex. In contrast, our method just needs some memory.

When changing the focus or zoom of a lens system, the Ray-LUT must be recalculated in real-time, leading to a temporary loss in quality. Our method is therefore only suitable for applications that can accept this temporary loss in quality, for example the real-time preview of animations. The Ray-LUT is not suitable for use cases in which the final image is generated in real-time, such as in a virtual studio.

In ophthalmology rendering methods are used to to plan corrective lenses and evaluate different surgical techniques. With our method, different options could be visualized in real-time. Our method promises great potential in this area, but possible applications have not yet been investigated further.

Given that our method can achieve great performance improvements in real-time, it seems natural that the speed of offline renderings could also be improved. We implemented our method in an offline ray tracer and evaluated the performance with various lenses and scenes of different complexity. If the proportion of lens simulation in the overall render time is high, for example with highly complex lens systems and simple scenes, the render time can be drastically reduced. We could observe performance improvements up to 80%. Because resolution and samples per pixel counts are usually high in offline renderings, this comes with a huge memory consumption that can add up easily to several hundreds of gigabytes (see Table 1). Without a further reduction of the memory consumption, using our method for offline renderings is not practical.

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We presented a physically accurate method to simulate lens systems in real-time using ray tracing. We showed that the rays of a camera lens are suitable for caching and that recalculating the cache is not a problem. Our method was evaluated in multiple test scenes with lens systems of different complexity, showing a similar performance as Polynomial Optics. Lens systems of arbitrary complexity can be simulated in real-time. In contrast to other methods, our preprocessing step is fast and can be computed interactivly. Our method was developed for the real-time preview of animations in the film industry. There is also great potential for use in ophthalmology, where rendering methods are used to plan corrective lenses and evaluate different surgical techniques. Our method could visualize the possible options accurately in realtime.

So far, axis symmetry has been employed to reduce the storage requirements of the Ray-LUT. For spherical lenses, rotational symmetricy could reduce the memory usage further. The rays could be calculated along an axis of the film and transformed during rendering according to the the pixel position during rendering. The memory requirement of a single ray could be reduced by two floats, by storing one component of the position and direction implicitly. In order to make the Ray-LUT independent of the rendered resolution, the rays could be calculated for just a few points on the sensor and interpolation could be carried out in between between these points. This could save additional memory and computing effort.

If the memory requirement can be significantly reduced, a precalculation of the Ray-LUT is possible. Instead of recalculating the Ray-LUT cumulatively in real-time when refocusing, rays for several focus distances could be precalculated and loaded accordingly when refocusing. In order to only store a small number of focus distances, an interpolation of different distances could take place. Until now, such a procedure was inconceivable due to the high memory requirements for the various configurations. If necessary, such configurations could be generated in an offline preprocess and stored on disk.

So far, only spherical and cylindrical lens surfaces were implemented and evaluated. It would be interesting to extend our method to aspherical or free-form surfaces. Because prime lenses are common in the movie industry, we restricted our implementation to these lens types. It would be interesting to extend our method to zoom lenses. As zooms are often part of animations, the recalculation of the cache must happen in real-time. This could be solved by a progressive recalculation like for changing focus distances.

Spectral renderers take a variety of wavelengths into account and not just three specific ones, as in our implementation. Our method allows to store an arbitrary number of wavelengths; however, this has not been investigated yet. There are no restrictions in how the wavelength spectrum is sampled, allowing to sample green wavelengths more densely to account for human vision.

REFERENCES

- Andersson, P., Nilsson, J., Akenine-Möller, T., Oskarsson, M., Aström, K., and Fairchild, M. D. (2020). Flip: A difference evaluator for alternating images. *Proc. ACM Comput. Graph. Interact. Tech.*, 3(2).
- Angenieux, P. (1955). Large aperture six component optical objective. US Patent 2,701,982.
- Hanika, J. and Dachsbacher, C. (2014). Efficient monte carlo rendering with realistic lenses. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 33(2):323–332.
- Hullin, M., Eisemann, E., Seidel, H.-P., and Lee, S. (2011). Physically-based real-time lens flare rendering. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2011 Papers, SIGGRAPH '11, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Hullin, M. B., Hanika, J., and Heidrich, W. (2012). Polynomial optics: A construction kit for efficient raytracing of lens systems. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 31(4):1375–1383.
- Joo, H., Kwon, S., Lee, S., Eisemann, E., and Lee, S. (2016). Efficient ray tracing through aspheric lenses and imperfect boken synthesis. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 35(4):99–105.
- Kolb, C., Mitchell, D., and Hanrahan, P. (1995). A realistic camera model for computer graphics. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, SIGGRAPH '95, pages 317–324, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Lee, S. and Eisemann, E. (2013). Practical real-time lensflare rendering. In *Proceedings of the Eurographics Symposium on Rendering*, EGSR '13, pages 1–6, Goslar, DEU. Eurographics Association.
- Lee, S., Eisemann, E., and Seidel, H.-P. (2010). Realtime lens blur effects and focus control. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 29(4).
- Neil, I. A. (2006). Anamorphic imaging system. US Patent 2006/0050403 A1.
- Nießner, M., Sturm, R., and Greiner, G. (2012). Realtime simulation and visualization of human vision through eyeglasses on the gpu. In *Proceedings of the* 11th ACM SIGGRAPH International Conference on Virtual-Reality Continuum and Its Applications in Industry, VRCAI '12, pages 195–202, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Ogawa, H. (1996). Zoom lens. US Patent 5,537,259.
- Ohara Corporation (2023). Catalog data. https://www. oharacorp.com/catalog.html.
- Parker, S. G., Bigler, J., Dietrich, A., Friedrich, H., Hoberock, J., Luebke, D., McAllister, D., McGuire, M., Morley, K., Robison, A., and Stich, M. (2010). Optix: A general purpose ray tracing engine. ACM Trans. Graph., 29(4).
- Pharr, M., Jakob, W., and Humphreys, G. (2016). *Physically Based Rendering: From Theory to Implementation*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc, San Francisco, CA, USA, 3rd edition.
- Sauer, Y., Wahl, S., and Habtegiorgis, S. W. (2022). Realtime blur simulation of varifocal spectacle lenses in

virtual reality. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2022 Technical Communications*, SA '22, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

- Sayed, M., Sayed, M., Rydalch, C., Elendt, M., Stone, J., and Delgado, P. (2023). The open chess set. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/.
- Schade, W. (1950). Petzval-type photographic objective. US Patent 2500046A.
- Schott AG (2023). Optisches Glas: Datenblätter. https://www.schott.com/de-de/products/ optical-glass-p1000267/downloads.
- Schrade, E., Hanika, J., and Dachsbacher, C. (2016). Sparse high-degree polynomials for wide-angle lenses. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 35(4):89–97.
- Stein, N., Rifai, K., Wahl, S., and Lappe, M. (2021). Simulating lens distortion in virtual reality. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Disability, Virtual Reality & Associated Technologies.
- Steinert, B., Dammertz, H., Hanika, J., and Lensch, H. P. A. (2011). General spectral camera lens simulation. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 30(6):1643–1654.
- Thorlabs, Inc. (2023). N-bk7 bi-convex lenses, uncoated. https://www.thorlabs.com/newgrouppage9. cfm?objectgroup_id=4847.
- von Sellmeier, W. (1871). Zur Erklärung der abnormen Farbenfolge im Spectrum einiger Substanzen. Annalen der Physik, 219(6):272–282.
- Wald, I. and Parker, S. G. (2019). Rtx accelerated ray tracing with optix. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2019 Courses, SIGGRAPH '19, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Zheng, Q. and Zheng, C. (2017a). Adaptive sparse polynomial regression for camera lens simulation. *The Visual Computer*, 33(6):715–724.
- Zheng, Q. and Zheng, C. (2017b). Neurolens: Data-driven camera lens simulation using neural networks. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 36(8):390–401.